

High-Velocity Estimates for the Scattering Operator and Aharonov-Bohm Effect in Three Dimensions ^{*†}

Miguel Ballesteros and Ricardo Weder [‡]

Department of Mathematics and Statistics. University of Helsinki
P.O. Box 68 (Gustaf Hallstromin katu 2b) FI-00014. Finland
ballesteros.miguel.math@gmail.com, weder@servidor.unam.mx

Abstract

We obtain high-velocity estimates with error bounds for the scattering operator of the Schrödinger equation in three dimensions with electromagnetic potentials in the exterior of bounded obstacles that are handlebodies. A particular case is a finite number of tori. We prove our results with time-dependent methods. We consider high-velocity estimates where the direction of the velocity of the incoming electrons is kept fixed as its absolute value goes to infinity. In the case of one torus our results give a rigorous proof that quantum mechanics predicts the interference patterns observed in the fundamental experiments of Tonomura et al. that gave a conclusive evidence of the existence of the Aharonov-Bohm effect using a toroidal magnet. We give a method for the reconstruction of the flux of the magnetic field over a cross-section of the torus modulo 2π . Equivalently, we determine modulo 2π the difference in phase for two electrons that travel to infinity, when one goes inside the hole and the other outside it. For this purpose we only need the high-velocity limit of the scattering operator for one direction of the velocity of the incoming electrons. When there are several tori -or more generally handlebodies- the information that we obtain in the fluxes, and on the difference of phases, depends on the relative position of the tori and on the direction of the velocities when we take the high-velocity limit of the incoming electrons. For some locations of the tori we can determine all the fluxes modulo 2π by taking the high-velocity limit in only one direction. We also give a method for the unique reconstruction of the electric potential and the magnetic field outside the handlebodies from the high-velocity limit of the scattering operator.

^{*}Mathematics Subject Classification(2000): 81U40, 35P25, 35Q40, 35R30.

[†]Research partially supported by CONACYT under Project P42553F.

[‡]On leave of absence from Departamento de Métodos Matemáticos y Numéricos. Instituto de Investigaciones en Matemáticas Aplicadas y en Sistemas. Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. Apartado Postal 20-726, México DF 01000. Ricardo Weder is a Fellow of the Sistema Nacional de Investigadores.

1 Introduction

The Aharonov-Bohm effect is a fundamental quantum mechanical phenomenon wherein charged particles, like electrons, are physically influenced, in the form of a phase shift, by the existence of magnetic fields in regions that are inaccessible to the particles. This genuinely quantum mechanical phenomenon was predicted by Aharonov and Bohm [3]. See also Ehrenberg and Siday [9]. This phenomenon has been extensively studied both, from the theoretical, and the experimental points of view. For a review of the literature see [29] and [30]. There has been a large controversy, involving over three hundred papers, concerning the existence of the Aharonov-Bohm effect. For a detailed discussion of this controversy see [30]. The issue was finally settled by the fundamental experiments of Tonomura et al. [37, 38], who used toroidal magnets to enclose a magnetic flux inside them. In remarkable experiments they were able to superimpose behind the magnet an electron beam that traveled inside the hole of the magnet with another electron beam that traveled outside the magnet, and they measured the phase shift produced by the magnetic flux enclosed in the magnet, giving a conclusive evidence of the existence of the Aharonov-Bohm effect.

In this paper we give a rigorous mathematical analysis of this scattering problem with time-dependent methods. In particular, we give a rigorous mathematical proof that quantum mechanics predicts the phase shifts observed in the Tonomura et al. experiments [37, 38].

We consider bounded obstacles, K , whose connected components are handlebodies. In particular, they can be the union of a finite number of bodies diffeomorphic to tori or to balls. Some of them can be patched through the boundary.

We study the high-velocity limit of the scattering operator in the complement, Λ , of the obstacle, K , for the Schrödinger equation with magnetic field and electric potential in Λ and with magnetic fluxes enclosed in the obstacle K . We obtain high-velocity estimates with error bounds for the scattering operator using the time-dependent method of [14]. We consider high-velocity limits where the direction of the velocity of the incoming electrons is kept fixed as its absolute value goes to infinity.

The leading term of our estimate gives us a reconstruction formula that allows us to reconstruct the circulation of the magnetic potential modulo 2π along lines in the direction of the velocity (the X-ray transform). From these line integrals we uniquely reconstruct the magnetic field in some region of Λ . The error term for the leading order goes to zero as a constant divided by the absolute value of the velocity.

The next term in our high-velocity estimate allows us to reconstruct the integral of the electric potential along lines in the direction of the velocity (the X-ray transform). We uniquely reconstruct the electric potential in a region of Λ from these line integrals. The error term for this high-velocity estimate goes to zero as a constant divided by a power of the absolute value of the velocity, that depends on the decay rate at infinity of the magnetic field and of the electric potential. If we have enough decay this power is one, as for the leading order.

The leading-order high-velocity estimate is given in Theorem 5.7 and the next term in our high-velocity estimate

is given in Theorem 5.9. The unique reconstruction of the magnetic field and the electric potential in a region of Λ is given in Theorem 6.3. The reconstruction method is summarized in Remark 6.4.

Then, we consider the Aharonov-Bohm effect. We assume that the magnetic field in Λ is identically zero. On the contrary, the electric potential is not assumed to be zero. In other words, we analyze the Aharonov-Bohm effect in the presence of an electric potential. We use for reconstruction only the leading-order high-velocity estimate. As for high-velocities the electric potential gives a lower-order contribution, it plays no role in the Aharonov-Bohm effect. However, to allow for a non-trivial electric potential could be of interest from the experimental point of view.

In Theorem 7.1 we reconstruct the circulation of the magnetic potential, modulo 2π , over a set of closed paths in Λ and in Remark 7.3 we reconstruct the projection of the de Rham cohomology class of the magnetic potential onto a subspace of $H_{\text{de R}}^1(\Lambda)$ in the sense that we reconstruct, modulo 2π , the expansion coefficients of the projection into the subspace of the de Rham cohomology class of the magnetic potential in any basis of the subspace. The set of circulations and the projection of the de Rham cohomology class of the magnetic potential that we can reconstruct depend on the relative position of the handlebodies and on the direction of the velocity of the incoming electrons. In Theorem 7.11, Corollary 7.12 and Remark 7.13 we give our method for the reconstruction of the fluxes inside the obstacle K , modulo 2π . Since the scattering operator is invariant under short-range gauge transformations that change the fluxes by multiples of 2π , the fluxes can only be reconstructed modulo 2π . Again, the fluxes that we reconstruct depend on the relative position of the handlebodies and on the direction of the velocity of the incoming electrons. In Example 7.14 we give obstacles that consist of a finite number of tori and manifolds diffeomorphic to balls, where from the high-velocity limit of the scattering operator in only one direction we reconstruct modulo 2π all the circulations in Λ of the magnetic potential, its de Rham cohomology class modulo 2π , and the flux modulo 2π of the magnetic field over the cross section of all the tori.

Finally, we discuss the fundamental experiments of Tonomura et al. [37, 38] in Section 8. We show that our results give a rigorous proof that quantum mechanics predicts the interference patterns between electron beams that go inside and outside the torus, that were observed in these remarkable experiments.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give a precise definition of the obstacle, K , and we study in a detailed way the homology and the cohomology of K and Λ . This allows us to construct homology and cohomology basis that have a clear physical significance. Using these results we construct in Section 3 classes of magnetic potentials characterized by the magnetic field in Λ and by the fluxes of the magnetic field in the cross sections of the components of K that have holes. We construct classes of magnetic potentials where the fluxes are fixed, and classes where the fluxes are only fixed modulo 2π . We study the gauge transformations between these magnetic potentials. In Section 4 we define the Hamiltonian of our system. In Section 5 we study our direct scattering problem. We prove the existence of the wave operators and we define the scattering operator. We analyze how the wave and scattering operators change under the change of the magnetic potential when the fluxes are only fixed modulo 2π . We also prove our high-velocity

estimates. In Section 6 we give our method for the reconstruction of the magnetic field and the electric potential in a region of Λ . In Section 7 we obtain our results in the Aharonov-Bohm effect and in Sections 8 we discuss the Tonomura et al. experiments [37, 38]. In Appendixes A and B we prove results in homology that we need.

For the Aharonov-Bohm effect in scattering in two dimensions see [28] and [40]. For inverse scattering by magnetic fields in all space see [4, 5, 6], [20, 21, 22]. For properties of the scattering matrix for scattering by Aharonov-Bohm potentials in all space see [33], [34] and [42, 43]. For the Aharonov-Bohm effect in inverse boundary-value problems see [10, 11, 12, 13], [24] and [25].

Finally, some words in our notations and definitions. We use notions of homology and cohomology as defined, for example, in [7], [16], [17], [8] and [41]. In particular, we consider homology and cohomology groups on open sets of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n = 2, 3$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$ and in $\mathbb{R}$. As these singular homology and cohomology groups are isomorphic to the C^∞ homology and cohomology groups, [7] page 291, we will identify them. We also use differential forms, or just forms, in open sets of $\mathbb{R}^3$ with regular boundary -or in their closure- with the Euclidean metric, as defined, for example, in [8], [35], [41]. For such a set, O , we denote by $\Omega^k(O)$ the set of all k -forms in O .

We use the standard identification between concepts of vector calculus and differential forms in three dimensions in the interior of O , that we denote by $\overset{\circ}{O}$, [35]. Let $\{x^i\}_{i=1}^3$ be the Euclidean coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^3$.

We identify vectors and 1- differential forms as

$$(A_1, A_2, A_3) \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^3 A_i dx^i.$$

We identify vectors and 2-differential forms as

$$(B_1, B_2, B_3) \Longleftrightarrow B_3 dx^1 \wedge dx^2 - B_2 dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + B_1 dx^2 \wedge dx^3.$$

We identify scalars and 3-differential forms as

$$f \Longleftrightarrow f dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3.$$

The exterior derivative, d , in 1- forms is equivalent to the curl of the associated vector, and in 2- forms is equivalent to the divergence of the associated vector. In particular, a 1- form, A , is closed if $dA = 0$, or equivalently, if the associated vector has curl zero, and a 2- form, B , is closed if $dB = 0$, or equivalently, if the associated vector has divergence zero. For 0- forms the exterior derivative coincides with the gradient ∇ .

We will always assume that the coefficients of our forms are at least locally integrable in any coordinate chart. Hence, they define distributions or currents [8]. We say that a form belongs to some space if its coefficients in any coordinate chart belong to that space. For example, we say that a form is continuous if it has continuous coefficients or that is L^p if its coefficients are in L^p . In the case of a 2- form, B , we will say that $B \in L^p \Omega^2(O)$, or, equivalently, that the associated vector $B \in L^p(\overset{\circ}{O})$. For forms defined in O that are not differentiable in the classical sense the derivatives are taken in distribution sense in O , if O is open, or in $\overset{\circ}{O}$ if it is closed.

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3, x \neq 0$, we denote, $\hat{x} := x/|x|$. By $B_r^{\mathbb{R}^n}(x_0), n = 2, 3$ we denote the open ball of center x_0 and radius r . By $\mathbb{S}^2$ we denote the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^3$. For any set O we denote by $F(x \in O)$ the operator of multiplication by the characteristic function of O . The symbol $\cong$ means isomorphism, the symbol $\simeq$ means homotopic equivalence and the symbol $\approx$ means homeomorphism.

We define the Fourier transform as a unitary operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as follows,

$$\hat{\phi}(p) := F\phi(p) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-ip \cdot x} \phi(x) dx.$$

We define functions of the operator $\mathbf{p} := -i\nabla$ by Fourier transform,

$$f(\mathbf{p})\phi := F^* f(p) F\phi, D(f(\mathbf{p})) := \{\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) : f(p) \hat{\phi}(p) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\},$$

for every measurable function f .

2 The Obstacle

2.1 Handlebodies

Let us designate by $\mathbb{S}^1$ the unit circle. We denote by $T := \mathbb{S}^1 \times \overline{B_1^{\mathbb{R}^2}(0)}$ the solid torus of dimension 3. We orient T assuming that the inverse of the following function is a chart that belongs to the orientation of T ,

$$\mathcal{U} : (0, 1) \times B_1^{\mathbb{R}^2}(0) \rightarrow T, \mathcal{U}(t, x, y) = (e^{2\pi it}, x, y), \quad (2.1)$$

The boundary sum of T with itself is defined as follows. See [15], page 19. Let $D_1 \subseteq \partial T$ be a disc contained in a chart, (U_1, ϕ_1) , belonging to the orientation of T and let $D_2 \subseteq \partial T$ be a disc contained in a chart, (U_2, ϕ_2) , belonging to the opposite orientation. We define the boundary sum $T \natural T$ as the disjoint union of T with itself, identifying D_1 in the first torus with D_2 in the second torus by means of the charts, in such a way that $T \natural T$ is an oriented differentiable manifold, the inclusion $l_1 : T \hookrightarrow T \natural T$ in the first torus is an homeomorphism onto its image whose restriction to $\overset{\circ}{T}$ is a diffeomorphism that preserves orientation and the inclusion $l_2 : T \hookrightarrow T \natural T$ in the second torus is an homeomorphism onto its image whose restriction to $\overset{\circ}{T}$ is a diffeomorphism that inverts orientation. We define the boundary sum of k tori by induction. Suppose that we already defined the boundary sum $\natural(k-1)T := T \natural T \cdots \natural T$, $k-1$ times of $k-1$ tori. Let $l_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ be the inclusion of T on the j th torus. As before, Let $D_1 \subseteq \partial T$ be a disc contained in a chart (U_1, ϕ_1) belonging to the orientation of T if $k-1$ is odd, or belonging to the opposite orientation if $k-1$ is even. Moreover, we assume that $l_{k-1}(U_1)$ does not intersect any of the union charts in $\natural(k-1)T$. This is always possible choosing the union charts small enough. Let $D_2 \subseteq \partial T$ be a disc contained in a chart (U_2, ϕ_2) belonging to the opposite orientation of T . Then, the boundary sum $\natural k T := T \natural T \cdots \natural T$, k times is obtained from $\natural T \cdots \natural T$, $k-1$ times identifying $l_{k-1}(D_1)$ with D_2 by means of the charts $(l_{k-1}(U_1), \phi_1 \circ l_{k-1}^{-1})$ and (U_2, ϕ_2) in such a way that $\natural k T$ is an

oriented differentiable manifold, the inclusion $\natural(k-1)T \hookrightarrow \natural kT$ in the first $k-1$ tori is an homeomorphism onto its image whose restriction to the interior is a diffeomorphism that preserves orientation and the inclusion $l_k : T \hookrightarrow \natural kT$ in the last torus is an homeomorphism onto its image whose restriction to $\overset{0}{T}$ is a diffeomorphism that inverts orientation. The structure of $\natural kT$ as oriented differentiable manifold does not depend on the discs used to join the tori [15], page 19. We will say that any oriented differentiable manifold diffeomorphic to $\natural kT$ is a handlebody with k handles, where the diffeomorphism is oriented. We will denote by $\natural 0T$ any oriented manifold that is diffeomorphic to the closed ball in $\mathbb{R}^3$ of center zero and radius one. Note that the inclusions $l_j : T \hookrightarrow \natural kT$ onto the j th torus are homeomorphisms onto their images whose restriction to the interior are diffeomorphisms that preserve orientation if j is odd and change orientation if j is even.

2.2 Homology of Handlebodies

We define the functions $\gamma_{\pm} : [0, 1] \rightarrow T : \gamma_{\pm}(t) = (e^{\pm 2\pi i t}, 0, 0)$ and

$$Z_j(t) := \begin{cases} l_j \circ \gamma_+(t) & \text{if } j \text{ is odd,} \\ l_j \circ \gamma_-(t) & \text{if } j \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

For any $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^1$ we define

$$B_{\xi} := \left(\{\xi\} \times \overline{B_1(0)} \right) \subseteq T. \quad (2.3)$$

We orient B_{ξ} by requiring that inverse of the inclusion $B_1(0) \hookrightarrow B_{\xi}$ belongs to the orientation of B_{ξ} , i.e., the inverse of the inclusion is a chart.

The image of Z_j in $\natural kT$ is a submanifold that we orient by means of the curve Z_j . We assume that $l_j(B_{\xi})$ does not intersect any of the union charts, what is always possible if the union charts are small enough. We orient the submanifold $l_j(B_{\xi})$ by the orientation of B_{ξ} . Let $v_1 \in T_{l_j(\xi, 0, 0)}(Z_j([0, 1])) \subseteq T_{l_j(\xi, 0, 0)}(\natural kT)$ be a tangent vector in the orientation of $Z_j([0, 1])$, and let $v_2, v_3 \in T_{l_j(\xi, 0, 0)}(l_j(B_{\xi})) \subseteq T_{l_j(\xi, 0, 0)}(\natural kT)$ with (v_2, v_3) in the positive orientation of $l_j(B_{\xi})$. Then, (v_1, v_2, v_3) is positively oriented in the tangent space $T_{l_j(\xi, 0, 0)}(\natural kT)$. This means that $Z_j([0, 1])$ and $l_j(B_{\xi})$ intersect in a positive way.

Let us denote by $H_1(\natural kT; \mathbb{R})$ the first group of singular homology of $\natural kT$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}$. See [16], page 47. In Appendix A we give a proof, for the reader's convenience, that $\{[Z_j]_{H_1(\natural kT; \mathbb{R})}\}_{j=1}^k$ is a basis of $H_1(\natural kT; \mathbb{R})$.

2.3 Definition of the Obstacle

ASSUMPTION 2.1. *We assume that the obstacle K is a compact submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^3$ of dimension three oriented with the orientation of $\mathbb{R}^3$. Moreover, $K = \cup_{j=1}^L K_j$ where $K_j, 1 \leq j \leq L$ are the connected components of K . We assume that the K_j are handlebodies.*

By our assumption there exist numbers $m_j \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0$ and oriented diffeomorphisms $F_j : \natural m_j T \rightarrow K_j, 1 \leq j \leq L$.

We denote by $\mathcal{J}_j$ the inclusion $K_j \hookrightarrow K$. The diffeomorphisms F_j induce a diffeomorphism

$$G : \bigvee_{j=1}^L \natural m_j T \rightarrow K,$$

where the symbol $\bigvee$ means disjoint union. We denote,

$$\begin{aligned} J &:= \{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\} : m_j > 0\}, \quad m := \sum_{j=1}^L m_j, \\ \{\gamma_k\}_{k=1}^m &:= \{\mathcal{J}_j \circ F_j \circ Z_i \mid j \in J, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m_j\}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Choose a $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^1$ such that $l_i(B_\xi)$ does not intersect any chart of union in $\natural m_j T, \forall j \in J, \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m_j\}$. This is always possible by choosing the charts of union in a proper way. If $\gamma_k = \mathcal{J}_j \circ F_j \circ Z_i$ we define $B_k := \mathcal{J}_j \circ F_j(l_i(B_\xi))$. B_k is a manifold that we orient by means of the orientation of B_ξ . As F_j is a oriented diffeomorphism and Z_i intersects $l_i(B_\xi)$ in a positive way, it follows that γ_k intersects B_k in a positive way.

We define, $\mathcal{W}_\xi : [0, 1] \rightarrow T : \mathcal{W}_\xi(t) := (\xi, \cos t, \sin t)$ and

$$\tilde{\gamma}_k := \mathcal{J}_j \circ F_j \circ l_i \circ \mathcal{W}_\xi. \quad (2.5)$$

Take $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\{x \mid \text{distance}(x, \partial K) < \varepsilon\}$ is diffeomorphic to $\partial K \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. This is possible by the tubular neighborhood theorem. See theorem 11.4, page 93 of [7]. We define,

$$\hat{\gamma}_k(t) := \tilde{\gamma}_k(t) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} N(\tilde{\gamma}_k(t)), \quad (2.6)$$

where $N(\tilde{\gamma}_k(t))$ is the exterior normal to K at the point $\tilde{\gamma}_k(t)$. Note that $\partial B_k = \tilde{\gamma}_k([0, 1])$, the orientation on $\tilde{\gamma}_k([0, 1])$ induced by B_k is the orientation induced by the curve $\tilde{\gamma}_k$.

2.4 The Homology of the Obstacle

PROPOSITION 2.2. $\{[\gamma_k]_{H_1(K; \mathbb{R})}\}_{k=1}^m$ is a basis of $H_1(K; \mathbb{R})$.

Proof: As $G : \bigvee_{j=1}^L \natural m_j T \rightarrow K$, is a diffeomorphism and since

$$H_1 \left(\bigvee_{j=1}^L \natural m_j T; \mathbb{R} \right) \cong \oplus_{j=1}^L H_1(\natural m_j T; \mathbb{R}),$$

by Proposition 9.5, page 47 of [16], it follows from Proposition 9.3 of Appendix A that $\{[\gamma_k]_{H_1(K; \mathbb{R})}\}_{k=1}^m$ is a basis of $H_1(K; \mathbb{R})$.

2.5 The Cohomology of the Obstacle

As K is an ANR (absolute neighborhood retract, page 225 and Theorem 26.17.4 of [16]) we have that

$$\check{H}^1(K; \mathbb{R}) \cong H^1(K; \mathbb{R}), \quad (2.7)$$

by Proposition 27.1, page 230 of [16] (see also page 347, Theorem 7.15 of [7]).

By Alexander's duality theorem (see Theorem 27.5, page 233 of [16])

$$\check{H}^1(K; \mathbb{R}) \cong H_2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K; \mathbb{R}). \quad (2.8)$$

By Theorem 14.1, page 75 of [16] we have the following exact sequence.

$$H_2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbb{R}^3; K).$$

As $\mathbb{R}^3$ is homotopically equivalent to a point, it follows from Theorem 11.3, page 59 and Example 9.4, page 47 of [16] that $H_2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}) = 0$ and $H_1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}) = 0$. Then, we have that the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow 0,$$

and then,

$$H_2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K; \mathbb{R}) \cong H_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K; \mathbb{R}). \quad (2.9)$$

By (2.7, 2.8, 2.9),

$$H^1(K; \mathbb{R}) \cong H_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K; \mathbb{R}). \quad (2.10)$$

By the theorem of universal coefficients, page 198 of [17], $H^1(K; \mathbb{R}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(H_1(K; \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R})$. Then, it follows that,

$$\dim H_1(K; \mathbb{R}) = \dim H_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K; \mathbb{R}) = m. \quad (2.11)$$

We denote,

$$\Lambda := \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K.$$

We will prove in Corollary 2.4 that $\{[\hat{\gamma}_k]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})}\}_{k=1}^m$ is a basis of $H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})$.

2.6 de Rham Cohomology of Λ

Let us define,

$$G^{(j)}(x) := \text{curl} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\gamma_j} \frac{1}{|x - y|} d\vec{\gamma}_j := \text{curl} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{1}{|x - \gamma_j(t)|} \dot{\gamma}_j(t) dt. \quad (2.12)$$

Then, $\text{curl} G^{(j)}(x) = 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \gamma_j$ and

$$\int_{\hat{\gamma}_k} G^{(j)} = \delta_{k,j}, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, m. \quad (2.13)$$

Equation (2.12) is the law of Biot-Savart that gives the magnetic field created by a current in γ_j and (2.13) is Ampere's law. For a proof see Satz 1.4, page 33, of [26].

PROPOSITION 2.3. $\left\{ [G^{(j)}]_{H_{\text{de R}}^1(\Lambda)} \right\}_{j=1}^m$ is a basis of $H_{\text{de R}}^1(\Lambda)$.

Proof: We first prove that they are linearly independent. Suppose that $\sum \alpha_j G^{(j)} = 0$ then, $\sum \alpha_j G^{(j)} = d\lambda$ for some 0-form λ . Hence,

$$\int_{\hat{\gamma}_k} \sum \alpha_j G^{(j)} = \alpha_k = 0.$$

By de Rham's Theorem (Theorem 4.17, page 154 of [41]) the dual space to $H_{\text{de R}}^1(\Lambda)$ is isomorphic to $H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})$ and viceversa. The isomorphisms are given by

$$\langle [\alpha]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})}, [A]_{H_{\text{de R}}^1(\Lambda)} \rangle := \int_{\alpha} A.$$

Then, by (2.11)

$$\dim H_{\text{de R}}^1(\Lambda) = \dim H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R}) = m,$$

and this proves the Proposition.

COROLLARY 2.4. $\left\{ [\hat{\gamma}_r]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})} \right\}_{r=1}^m$ is a basis of $H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})$.

Proof: By (2.13) $\left\{ [\hat{\gamma}_r]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})} \right\}_{r=1}^m$ is the dual basis -in the sense of de Rham's Theorem- to the basis $\left\{ [G^{(r)}]_{H_{\text{de R}}^1(\Lambda)} \right\}_{r=1}^m$ of $H_{\text{de R}}^1(\Lambda)$. □

PROPOSITION 2.5. Let A be a closed 1-form with continuous coefficients defined in Λ and such that

$$\int_{\hat{\gamma}_r} A = 0, r = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Then, there is a continuously differentiable 0-form, λ , such that $A = d\lambda$. Moreover, we can take $\lambda(x) := \int_{C(x_0, x)} A$ where x_0 is any fixed point in Λ and $C(x_0, x)$ is any curve from x_0 to x .

Proof: By Theorem 12, page 68, of [8] there is a regularization $R(\epsilon)$ and an operator $\Gamma(\epsilon)$ such that if α is a continuous k -form on Λ , $R\alpha$ is a C^∞ k -form on Λ and $\Gamma\alpha$ is a continuous $(k-1)$ -form on Λ . Moreover, $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} R\alpha = \alpha$ uniformly on compact sets in Λ . Furthermore,

$$R\alpha - \alpha = b\Gamma\alpha + \Gamma b\alpha, \tag{2.14}$$

where $b\alpha := (-1)^{\text{grade}(\alpha)-1} d\alpha$. Multiplying (2.14) on the left by b and applying it to $b\alpha$ we prove that $Rb = bR$. As A is closed, it follows from (2.14) that $RA - A = b\Gamma A$. In particular, this implies that $b\Gamma A$ is continuous. Let C be a closed curve. Then, by Stokes theorem,

$$\int_C b\Gamma A = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_C Rb\Gamma A = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_C bR\Gamma A = 0,$$

and then,

$$\int_C RA = \int_C A,$$

and in particular,

$$\int_{\hat{\gamma}_r} RA = \int_{\hat{\gamma}_r} A = 0, r = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

As RA is C^∞ and closed, and since $\{[\hat{\gamma}_r]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})}\}_{r=1}^m$ is a basis of $H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})$ it follows from de Rham's Theorem (Theorem 4.17, page 154, [41]) that there is an infinitely differentiable 0-form α such that $RA = b\alpha$. But then, using Stokes theorem again,

$$\int_C RA = \int_C b\alpha = 0,$$

and we obtain that,

$$\int_C A = 0,$$

for any closed curve C and we can define $\lambda := \int_{C(x_0, x)} A$. Clearly, $A = d\lambda$.

□

Recall that $\{K_j\}_{j=1}^L$ is the set of connected components of K . For each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$ we choose a x_j in the interior of K_j . We define the vector,

$$D_j := -\text{grad} \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{|x - x_j|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{x_j\}. \quad (2.15)$$

and according to our convention, we denote by the same symbol the associated 2-form. Note that $\text{div } D_j(x) = dD_j = -\Delta \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{|x - x_j|} = 0, x \neq x_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ and that,

$$|D_j(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-2}, \quad x \in \Lambda. \quad (2.16)$$

For any $r > 0$ such that $K \subset B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0)$ we denote,

$$\Lambda_r := \Lambda \cap B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0), \text{ and } \Lambda_\infty := \Lambda.$$

PROPOSITION 2.6. $\left\{ [D_j]_{H^2_{\text{de R}}(\Lambda_r)} \right\}_{j=1}^L$ is a basis of $H^2_{\text{de R}}(\Lambda_r)$ for $r \leq \infty$.

Proof: Let us first consider the case $r = \infty$. As in the proof of (2.11) we prove that

$$\dim H_0(K; \mathbb{R}) = \dim H_2(\Lambda; \mathbb{R}).$$

But by Proposition 9.6, page 48 of [16]

$$H_0(K; \mathbb{R}) \cong \oplus_{j=1}^L \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover, by de Rham's Theorem (Theorem 4.17, page 154 of [41])

$$H_{\text{de R}}^2(\Lambda) \cong H_2(\Lambda; \mathbb{R}). \quad (2.17)$$

Then,

$$\dim H_{\text{de R}}^2(\Lambda) = \dim H_2(\Lambda; \mathbb{R}) = L. \quad (2.18)$$

Let us now consider $r < \infty$. We define, $f : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_r$

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} r_1 \frac{x}{|x|}, & \text{if } |x| \geq r_1, \\ x, & \text{if } |x| \leq r_1, \end{cases}$$

and $H(x, t) : (\Lambda \times [0, 1]) \rightarrow \Lambda$

$$H(x, t) := \begin{cases} x + t(r_1 \frac{x}{|x|} - x), & \text{if } |x| \geq r_1, \\ x, & \text{if } |x| \leq r_1, \end{cases}$$

where $r_1 < r$ and $K \subset B_{r_1}^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0)$. Let l be the inclusion $l : \Lambda_r \hookrightarrow \Lambda$. Then as $l \circ f(x) = l \circ H(x, 1) = H(x, 1)$ and $H(x, 0) = I(x)$, we have that $l \circ f$ is homotopic to the identity. Let us denote by $\tilde{H}(x, t)$ the restriction of $H(x, t)$ to Λ_r . Then, $f \circ l(x) = \tilde{H}(l(x), 1) = \tilde{H}(x, 1)$, and as $\tilde{H}(x, 0) = I(x)$ we also have that $f \circ l$ is homotopic to the identity. Hence, by Theorem 11.3, page 59 [16] the inclusion l induces an isomorphism in homology. In particular, $H_2(\Lambda_r; \mathbb{R}) \cong H_2(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})$ and then,

$$\dim H_2(\Lambda_r; \mathbb{R}) = \dim H_2(\Lambda; \mathbb{R}) = L. \quad (2.19)$$

It follows from Stoke's theorem and as $-\Delta \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{|x - x_j|} = \text{div } D_j(x) = \delta(x - x_j)$ that,

$$\int_{\partial K_i} D_j = \int_{\partial B_\rho^{\mathbb{R}^3}(x_i)} D_j = \delta_{i,j}, \quad (2.20)$$

for ρ small enough and $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, L$. This easily implies that the set $\left\{ [D_j]_{H_{\text{de R}}^2(\Lambda_r)} \right\}_{j=1}^L$ is linearly independent.

LEMMA 2.7. *Suppose that $\{[S_j]_{H_2(\Lambda_r; \mathbb{R})}\}_{j=1}^L$ is a basis of $H_2(\Lambda_r; \mathbb{R})$ for $r \leq \infty$. Let D be a closed 2-form with continuous coefficients in $\overline{\Lambda_r}$. Then,*

$$\int_{\partial K_j} D = 0, \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\} \iff \int_{S_j} D = 0, \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}. \quad (2.21)$$

Proof: Denote $K_{j,\varepsilon} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \text{dist}(x, K_j) < \varepsilon\}$ where ε is so small that the tubular neighborhood theorem applies and let R be the regularization operator. Suppose that the left side of (2.21) holds. Then, as D is closed we prove using the Stokes theorem that,

$$\int_{\partial K_{j,\varepsilon}} RD = 0, \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}.$$

As RD is C^∞ and closed, since $bR = Rb$, there are coefficients $\lambda_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ and a 1-form α such that,

$$RD = \sum_{j=1}^L \lambda_j D_j + d\alpha.$$

Then, it follows from (2.20) (with $K_{j,\varepsilon}$ instead of K_j) and Stoke's theorem that

$$0 = \int_{\partial K_{j,\varepsilon}} RD = \lambda_j,$$

and we obtain that

$$RD = d\alpha.$$

Furthermore, using the regularization operator and Stoke's theorem we prove that,

$$\int_{S_j} D = \int_{S_j} RD = \int_{S_j} d\alpha = 0, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}.$$

Assume now that $\int_{S_j} D = 0, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$. We prove as above that, $\int_{S_j} RD = 0, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$, and by de Rham's Theorem (Theorem 4.17, page 154 of [41]) there is a 1-form α such that

$$RD = d\alpha.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\partial K_{j,\varepsilon}} D = \int_{\partial K_{j,\varepsilon}} RD = \int_{\partial K_{j,\varepsilon}} d\alpha = 0,$$

and then,

$$\int_{\partial K_j} D = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial K_{j,\varepsilon}} D = 0, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}.$$

3 Magnetic Field and Magnetic Potentials

In this section we introduce the class of magnetic fields that we consider and we construct a class of associated magnetic potentials with nice behaviour at infinity that will allow us to solve our scattering problems.

DEFINITION 3.1. *We say that a form B in $\overline{\Lambda}$ is continuous in a neighborhood of ∂K if there is a $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the coefficients of B are continuous in $\overline{\Lambda} \cap K_\varepsilon$ where $K_\varepsilon := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \text{dist}(x, K) < \varepsilon\}$.*

Below we assume that the magnetic field, B , is a 2-form that is continuous in a neighborhood of ∂K and satisfies

$$\int_{\partial K_j} B = 0, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}. \tag{3.22}$$

This condition means that the total contribution of magnetic monopoles inside each component K_j of the obstacle is 0. In a formal way we can use Stokes theorem to conclude that

$$\int_{\partial K_j} B = 0 \iff \int_{K_j} \operatorname{div} B = 0, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}.$$

As $\operatorname{div} B$ is the density of magnetic charge, $\int_{\partial K_j} B$ is the total magnetic charge inside K_j , and our condition (3.22) means that the total magnetic charge inside K_j is zero, this condition is fulfilled if there is no magnetic monopole inside $K_j, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let B be a 2-form in $L^p_{\operatorname{loc}} \Omega^2(\overline{\Lambda})$, $p \geq 2$ that is continuous in a neighborhood of ∂K and satisfies (3.22). Suppose that the restriction of B to Λ is closed ($dB|_{\Lambda} = 0$) as a distribution (or current [8]). Then, B has an extension to a closed 2-form $\overline{B} \in L^p_{\operatorname{loc}} \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that, $\overline{B}|_{\overline{\Lambda}} = B$.*

Proof: Let us denote $M := \overline{\Lambda}_r$, $r < \infty$. M is a compact manifold. We denote by B_M the restriction of B to M . As $dB|_{\Lambda} = 0$, it follows from Green's formula (Proposition 2.12, page 60, [35]) that

$$\langle \langle B_M, \delta \eta \rangle \rangle = 0, \forall \eta \in C_0^\infty \Omega^3(\overset{\circ}{M}). \quad (3.23)$$

We denote (Definition 2.4.1, page 80 [35])

$$C^k(M) := \{ \delta \eta | \eta \in H^1 \Omega_N^{k+1}(M) \},$$

and (Definition 2.2.1, page 67 [35])

$$H^1 \Omega_N^k(M) := \{ \eta \in H^1 \Omega^k(M) | \mathbf{n} \eta = 0 \}.$$

Let us recall (page 27 [35]) that given $\eta \in \Omega^3(M)$ and tangent vectors $v_i \in T_x(M)$, $x \in \partial M$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,

$$\mathbf{t} \eta(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \eta(v_1^\parallel, v_2^\parallel, v_3^\parallel),$$

where $v_i^\parallel$ is the projection of v_i into $T_x(\partial M)$. As η is a multi-linear function and $\{v_1^\parallel, v_2^\parallel, v_3^\parallel\}$ are linearly dependent,

$$\mathbf{t} \eta = 0.$$

By the definition in page 27 [35],

$$\mathbf{n} \eta := \eta - \mathbf{t} \eta = \eta.$$

It follows that

$$\mathbf{n} \eta = \eta, \eta \in H^1 \Omega^3(M).$$

Let $\eta \in H^1 \Omega_N^3(M)$, then there exists $f \in W^{1,2}(M)$ such that

$$\eta|_{\overset{\circ}{M}} = f|_{\overset{\circ}{M}} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3.$$

As $\mathbf{n}\eta = \eta = 0$, it follows that $f|_{\partial M} = 0$ in trace sense. Hence (Theorem 4.7.1, page 330, [36]), f can be approximated in the $W^{1,2}(M)$ norm by functions in $C_0^\infty(\overset{\circ}{M})$, and then η can be approximated in the $H^1\Omega^3(M)$ norm by forms in $\Omega^3(\overset{0}{M})$ with compact support. Whence, it follows from (3.23) that

$$\langle\langle B_M, \delta\eta \rangle\rangle = 0, \forall \eta \in C^2(M). \quad (3.24)$$

By Corollary 2.4.9, page 87 [35]

$$B_M = d\alpha + \delta\beta + d\epsilon + \gamma \in \mathcal{E}^2(M) \oplus C^2(M) \oplus L^2\mathcal{H}_{\text{ext}}^2(M) \oplus \mathcal{H}_N^2(M), \quad (3.25)$$

where (Definition 2.4.1, page 80 [35])

$$\mathcal{E}^k(M) := \{d\alpha | \alpha \in H^1\Omega_D^{k-1}(M)\}$$

and (Definition 2.2.1, page 67 [35])

$$H^1\Omega_D^k(M) := \{\eta \in H^1\Omega^k(M) | \mathbf{t}\eta = 0\}.$$

Furthermore (page 86 [35]),

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{ext}}^k(M) := \{\eta \in \mathcal{H}^k(M) | \eta = d\epsilon\},$$

and (Definition 2.2.1, page 67 [35])

$$\mathcal{H}^k(M) := \{\eta \in H^1\Omega^k(M) | d\eta = 0, \delta\eta = 0\}.$$

are the harmonic fields, and

$$\mathcal{H}_N^k(M) := \mathcal{H}^k(M) \cap H^1\Omega_N^k(M).$$

Note that Theorem 2.2.7, page 72 [35] implies that $\mathcal{H}_N^2(M)$ consists of C^∞ forms. Furthermore by Lemma 2.4.11 page 90 [35] we can choose $\alpha \in W^{1,p}\Omega_D^1(M)$, and by Theorem 2.4.8, page 86 and Theorems 2.2.6 and 2.2.7, page 72 [35] $\epsilon \in W^{1,p}\Omega_N^1(M)$. Moreover, the decomposition (3.25) is orthogonal in $L^2(M)$, and then by (3.24) $\delta\beta = 0$.

Let R be the regularization operator in $\Lambda_r = \overset{\circ}{M}$. Then, as in the proof of Lemma 2.7 we prove that

$$\int_{\partial K_{j,\epsilon}} RB = 0.$$

Hence,

$$0 = \int_{\partial K_{j,\epsilon}} RB = \int_{\partial K_{j,\epsilon}} d(R\alpha + R\epsilon) + \int_{\partial K_{j,\epsilon}} R\gamma = \int_{\partial K_{j,\epsilon}} R\gamma.$$

Then, $\int_{\partial K_{j,\epsilon}} R\gamma = 0$, $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$ and when the parameter of the regularization tends to zero we obtain $\int_{\partial K_{j,\epsilon}} \gamma = 0$, $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$.

As γ is harmonic it is closed and it follows from Stokes theorem that

$$\int_{\partial K_j} \gamma = 0, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.7 $\int_{S_j} \gamma = 0, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$. By de Rham's Theorem $\gamma = d\lambda, \lambda \in \Omega^1(\overset{\circ}{M})$. Denote $M_\varepsilon := \{x \in M : \text{dist}(x, \partial M) \geq \varepsilon\}$. Let γ_ε be the restriction of γ to M_ε . Then γ_ε is exact and by Lemma 3.2.1, page 119 [35], and its proof, $\gamma_\varepsilon = d\omega_\varepsilon$ with $\omega_\varepsilon \in H^1\Omega^1(M_\varepsilon)$ and

$$\|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{H^1\Omega^1(M_\varepsilon)} \leq C\|\gamma_\varepsilon\|_{L^2\Omega^2(M_\varepsilon)} \leq C\|\gamma\|_{L^2\Omega^2(M)},$$

where the constant C can be taken independent of ε for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ for ε_0 small enough. Let us denote by $\Lambda^k(M), \Lambda^k(M_\varepsilon)$, respectively, the exterior k -form bundle of M, M_ε (see Definition 1.3.8 in page 39 of [35]). For any vector bundle, $\mathbb{F}$, over a manifold N we denote by $\Gamma(\mathbb{F})$ the space of all smooth sections of $\mathbb{F}$ (see Definition 1.1.9, page 17 of [35]). Note that the norm, C_1 , of the trace operator (Theorem 1.3.7, page 38 [35]) from $H^1(\Omega^k(M_\varepsilon))$ into $L^2\Gamma(\Lambda^k(M_\varepsilon)|_{\partial M_\varepsilon})$ can be taken independent of ε for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$. By Green's formula and as $\delta\gamma_\varepsilon = 0$,

$$\langle \gamma_\varepsilon, \gamma_\varepsilon \rangle = \langle d\omega_\varepsilon, \gamma_\varepsilon \rangle = \int_{\partial M_\varepsilon} \mathbf{t}\omega_\varepsilon \wedge * \mathbf{n}\gamma_\varepsilon. \quad (3.26)$$

But as

$$\|\mathbf{t}\omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2\Gamma(\Lambda^1(M_\varepsilon)|_{\partial M_\varepsilon})} \leq C_1\|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{H^1\Omega^1(M_\varepsilon)} \leq C_1C\|\gamma_\varepsilon\|_{L^2\Omega^2(M_\varepsilon)} \leq C_1C\|\gamma\|_{L^2\Omega^2(M)},$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\mathbf{n}\gamma_\varepsilon\|_{L^2\Gamma(\Lambda^2(M_\varepsilon)|_{\partial M_\varepsilon})} = 0,$$

it follows from (3.26) and Schwarz inequality that

$$\|\gamma\|_{L^2\Omega^2(M)}^2 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\gamma_\varepsilon\|_{L^2\Omega^2(M)}^2 = 0.$$

Then $\gamma = 0$ and we have that

$$B_M = dA_M \quad (3.27)$$

where $A_M := \alpha + \epsilon \in W^{1,p}\Omega^1(\overset{\circ}{M})$. It follows from Theorem 4.2.2, page 311 [36] that there is $\overline{A_M} \in W^{1,p}\Omega^1(\overline{B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0)})$ such that $\overline{A_M}|_M = A_M$. We define

$$\overline{B}(x) = \begin{cases} d\overline{A_M}(x), & \text{if } x \in B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0), \\ B(x), & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0). \end{cases} \quad (3.28)$$

Hence, $\overline{B}$ is the required extension.

□

Recall that the functions $\{\hat{\gamma}_j\}_{j=1}^m$ were defined in (2.6). We introduce now a function that gives the magnetic flux across surfaces that have $\{\hat{\gamma}_j\}_{j=1}^m$ as their boundaries.

DEFINITION 3.3. The flux, Φ is a function $\Phi : \{\hat{\gamma}_j\}_{j=1}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

We now define a class of magnetic potentials with a given flux.

DEFINITION 3.4. Let $B \in L^p \Omega^2(\bar{\Lambda})$, $p > 3$, be a closed 2-form that is continuous in a neighborhood of ∂K where K is as in Assumption 2.1. Assume, furthermore, that (3.22) holds. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_\Phi(B)$ the set of all continuous 1-forms in $\bar{\Lambda}$ that satisfy.

1.
$$|A(x)| \leq C \frac{1}{1+|x|}, \quad a(r) := \max_{x \in \Lambda, |x| \geq r} \{|A(x) \cdot \hat{x}|\} \in L^1(0, \infty). \quad (3.29)$$

2.
$$\int_{\hat{\gamma}_j} A = \Phi(\hat{\gamma}_j), \quad j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}. \quad (3.30)$$

3.
$$dA|_\Lambda = B|_\Lambda. \quad (3.31)$$

The definition of the flux Φ depends on the particular choice of the curves $\{\hat{\gamma}_j\}_{j=1}^m$. However, the class $\mathcal{A}_\Phi(B)$ is independent of this particular choice as we prove below.

Recall that by Corollary 2.4 $\beta := \{[\hat{\gamma}_j]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})}\}_{j=1}^m$ is a basis of $H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})$. Let $\beta' := \{[C_j]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})}\}_{j=1}^m$ be another basis of $H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})$. We define $\Phi_{\beta'} : \{C_j\}_{j=1}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows. As β is a basis of $H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})$ there are real numbers b_j^i and chains σ_j such that

$$C_j = \sum_{i=1}^m b_j^i \hat{\gamma}_i + \partial \sigma_j. \quad (3.32)$$

We define,

$$\Phi_{\beta'}(C_j) := \sum_{i=1}^m b_j^i \Phi(\hat{\gamma}_i) + \int_{\sigma_j} B. \quad (3.33)$$

We denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\Phi_{\beta'}}(B)$ the set of continuous 1-forms A in $\bar{\Lambda}$ that satisfy 1 and 3 of Definition 3.4 and moreover,

$$\int_{C_j} A = \Phi_{\beta'}(C_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

PROPOSITION 3.5. $\mathcal{A}_{\Phi_{\beta'}}(B) = \mathcal{A}_\Phi(B)$.

Proof: Let $A \in \mathcal{A}_\Phi(B)$. Then, by (3.32)

$$\int_{C_j} A = \sum_{i=1}^m b_j^i \int_{\hat{\gamma}_i} A + \int_{\sigma_j} dA = \Phi_{\beta'}(C_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

and it follows that $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi_{\beta'}}(B)$.

Suppose now that $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, \beta'}(B)$. As β and β' are basis, the numbers $b_j^i, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ determine an invertible matrix. We denote by $\tilde{b}_i^j$ the entries of the inverse matrix. Hence,

$$\hat{\gamma}_i = \sum_{j,s=1}^m \tilde{b}_i^j b_j^s \hat{\gamma}_s = \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{b}_i^j (C_j - \partial \sigma_j),$$

and then by (3.33),

$$\int_{\hat{\gamma}_i} A = \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{b}_i^j \left(\Phi_{\beta'}(C_j) - \int_{\sigma_j} B \right) = \Phi(\hat{\gamma}_i).$$

This implies that $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi}(B)$.

□

By Stoke's theorem the circulation $\int_{\hat{\gamma}_j} A$ of a potential $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi}(B)$ represents the flux of the magnetic field B in any surface whose boundary is $\hat{\gamma}_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. As the magnetic field is *a priori* known outside the obstacle, it is natural to specify the magnetic potentials fixing fluxes of the magnetic field in surfaces inside the obstacle. This is accomplished fixing the circulations $\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_j} A$ instead of the circulations $\int_{\hat{\gamma}_j} A$, as we prove below. Recall that $\tilde{\gamma}_j$ is defined in (2.5). With ε as in (2.6) we define,

$$S_j := \left\{ \tilde{\gamma}_j(t) + s \frac{\varepsilon}{2} N(\tilde{\gamma}_j(t)) | t, s \in [0, 1] \right\}.$$

We give S_j the structure of an oriented surface with boundary $\hat{\gamma}_j - \tilde{\gamma}_j$. By Stoke's theorem and regularizing we prove that,

$$\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_j} A = \int_{\hat{\gamma}_j} A - \int_{S_j} B.$$

We define the fluxes $\tilde{\Phi} : \{\tilde{\gamma}_j\}_{j=1}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ accordingly,

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{\gamma}_j) = \Phi(\hat{\gamma}_j) - \int_{S_j} B.$$

We denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{\Phi}}(B)$ the set of continuous 1- forms, A , in $\bar{\Lambda}$ that satisfy 1 and 3 of Definition 3.4 and moreover,

$$\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_j} A = \tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{\gamma}_j), j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

PROPOSITION 3.6. $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Phi}}(B) = \mathcal{A}_{\Phi}(B)$.

Proof: Let $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi}(B)$. By Stoke's theorem and regularizing,

$$\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_j} A = \int_{\hat{\gamma}_j} A - \int_{S_j} B = \tilde{\Phi}_j.$$

Then, $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Phi}}(B)$. We prove in the same way that $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Phi}}(B) \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi}(B)$.

□

Note that for 1- forms $A = \sum_{i=1}^3 A_i dx^i$, $\delta A = - \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} A_i = -\text{div } A$ [35]. We use the definition of divergence of a vector field, A , as it is usual in vector calculus. The definition given in [35] differs from our's in a $-$ sign.

THEOREM 3.7. (Coulomb Potential) *Let $B \in L^p \Omega^2(\overline{\Lambda})$, $p > 3$, be a closed 2-form that is continuous in a neighborhood of ∂K , where K is as in Assumption 2.1. Assume, furthermore, that (3.22) holds and that for some r with $K \subset B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0)$,*

$$|B(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-\mu}, |x| \geq r, \mu > 2. \quad (3.34)$$

Then, for any flux, Φ , there is a potential $A_C \in \mathcal{A}_\Phi(B)$ such that $A_C = A_{(C,1)} + A_{(C,2)}$ where $A_{(C,1)}$ is continuous on $\overline{\Lambda}$, $A_{(C,2)}$ is C^∞ on $\overline{\Lambda}$, and $\delta A_{(C,j)} = -\operatorname{div} A_{(C,j)} = 0$, $j = 1, 2$. Furthermore,

$$|A_{(C,1)}(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-\min(2-\varepsilon, \mu-1)}, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad (3.35)$$

$$|A_{(C,2)}(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-2}. \quad (3.36)$$

Proof: Let $\overline{B}$ be the extension to $\mathbb{R}^3$ of B given by Theorem 3.2. by Proposition 2.6 of [22] and its proof we can take as $A_{(C,1)}$ the Coulomb gauge of $\overline{B}$.

$$A_{(C,1)} := -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^3} \times \overline{B}(y) dy, \quad (3.37)$$

where we use the notation of vector calculus. We define $A_{(C,2)}$ as follows,

$$A_{(C,2)} := \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\Phi(\hat{\gamma}_j) - \int_{\hat{\gamma}_j} A_{(C,1)} \right) G^{(j)}, \quad (3.38)$$

where $G^{(j)}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ are defined in (2.12) and we used (2.13). Clearly, $G^{(j)} \in C^\infty(\overline{\Lambda})$ and $|G^{(j)}(x)| \leq C(1+|x|)^{-2}$.

□

Note that in $\mathbb{R}^3$ A_C is the Coulomb potential that corresponds to the magnetic field

$$\overline{B} + \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\Phi(\gamma_j) - \int_{\gamma_j} A_{(C,1)} \right) \delta(x - \gamma_j) d\vec{\gamma}_j,$$

with

$$\langle \delta(x - \gamma_j) d\vec{\gamma}_j, \phi \rangle := \int_{\gamma_j} \phi d\vec{\gamma}_j.$$

The div-curl problem in exterior domains in the case of C^1 vector fields with Hölder continuous first derivatives was considered in [39].

LEMMA 3.8. (Gauge Transformations) *Suppose that $A, \tilde{A} \in \mathcal{A}_\Phi(B)$. Then, there is a C^1 0-form λ in $\overline{\Lambda}$ such that, $\tilde{A} - A = d\lambda$. Moreover, we can take $\lambda(x) := \int_{C(x_0, x)} (\tilde{A} - A)$ where x_0 is any fixed point in Λ and $C(x_0, x)$ is any curve from x_0 to x . Furthermore, $\lambda_\infty(x) := \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(rx)$ exists and it is continuous in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ and homogeneous of*

order zero, i.e. $\lambda_\infty(rx) = \lambda_\infty(x)$, $r > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover,

$$|\lambda_\infty(x) - \lambda(x)| \leq \int_{|x|}^\infty b(|x|), \text{ for some } b(r) \in L^1(0, \infty), \quad (3.39)$$

and $|\lambda_\infty(x+y) - \lambda_\infty(x)| \leq C|y|$, $\forall x : |x| = 1$, and $\forall y : |y| < 1/2$.

Proof: The existence of λ follows from Proposition 2.5. The existence of λ_∞ and the first equation in (3.39) follow from condition 1 in Definition 3.4. The homogeneity follows from the definition. Denote $G := \tilde{A} - A$. Take $m > 1$ such that $K \subset B_{m/2}^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0)$. Suppose that $|x| = 1$ and that $|y| < 1/2$.

Denote, $x' := mx$, $y' := m\frac{x+y}{|x+y|} - x'$. Then, $\lambda_\infty(x) = \lambda_\infty(x')$, $\lambda_\infty(x+y) = \lambda_\infty(x' + y')$. Hence,

$$\lambda_\infty(x+y) - \lambda_\infty(x) = \lambda_\infty(x' + y') - \lambda_\infty(x') = \int_{x'}^{x'+y'} G + \int_{x'+y'}^\infty G - \int_{x'}^\infty G = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{rmx}^{rm(x+y)/|x+y|} G,$$

where we used Stoke's theorem and $dG = 0$. Then,

$$|\lambda_\infty(x' + y') - \lambda_\infty(x')| \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{rmx}^{rm(x+y)/|x+y|} |G| \leq C|y|.$$

This proves (3.39).

We now consider potentials that satisfy the flux condition modulo 2π .

DEFINITION 3.9. Let B be as in Definition 3.4. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B)$ the set of all continuous 1-forms in $\overline{\Lambda}$ that satisfy 1 and 3 of Definition 3.4 and moreover,

$$\int_{\hat{\gamma}_j} A = \Phi(\hat{\gamma}_j) + 2\pi n_j(A), \quad n_j(A) \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

Given $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B)$ we define,

$$A_\Phi := A - \sum_{j=1}^m 2\pi n_j(A) G^{(j)}. \quad (3.40)$$

By (2.13) $A_\Phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Phi(B)$.

Suppose that $A, \tilde{A} \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B)$. Then, $A_\Phi, \tilde{A}_\Phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Phi(B)$, and by Lemma 3.8

$$\tilde{A}_\Phi - A_\Phi = d\lambda \quad (3.41)$$

and it follows that,

$$\tilde{A} - A = d\lambda + A_\mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.42)$$

where

$$A_\mathbb{Z} := \sum_{j=1}^m 2\pi(n_j(\tilde{A}) - n_j(A)) G^{(j)}. \quad (3.43)$$

Let C be any closed curve in Λ . Then, by Proposition 10.1 in Appendix B,

$$C := \sum_{j=1}^m n_j(C) \hat{\gamma}_j + \partial\sigma, \quad n_j(C) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence,

$$\int_C (\tilde{A} - A) = 2\pi N, \text{ for some } N \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.44)$$

Whence, we can define the non-integrable factors [44],

$$U_{\tilde{A},A}(x) := e^{i \int_{C(x_0,x)} (\tilde{A}-A)} = e^{i(\lambda(x) + \int_{C(x_0,x)} A_{\mathbb{Z}})}, \quad (3.45)$$

where x_0 is any fixed point in Λ and $C(x_0, x)$ is any curve in Λ from x_0 to x . Clearly, $U_{\tilde{A},A} \in C^1(\overline{\Lambda})$. Moreover, if $\tilde{A}, A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi}(B)$ we have that $A_{\mathbb{Z}} = 0$, and then,

$$U_{\tilde{A},A}(x) = e^{i\lambda(x)}, \tilde{A}, A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi}(B). \quad (3.46)$$

LEMMA 3.10. *Suppose that $\tilde{A}, A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi,2\pi}(B)$. Then, for $x \neq 0$,*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} U_{\tilde{A},A}(rx) = e^{i(\lambda_{\infty}(x) + C_{\tilde{A},A})}, \quad (3.47)$$

with $\lambda_{\infty}(x) := \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(rx)$ given by Lemma 3.8 with λ as in (3.41), and where $C_{\tilde{A},A}$ is a real number that is independent of x . Furthermore,

$$\left| U_{\tilde{A},A}(x) - e^{i(\lambda_{\infty}(x) + C_{\tilde{A},A})} \right| \leq \int_{|x|}^{\infty} c(|x|), \text{ for some } c(r) \in L^1(0, \infty). \quad (3.48)$$

Moreover, if $\tilde{A}, A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi}(B)$ we have that $C_{\tilde{A},A} = 0$.

Proof: Let r_0 be such that $K \subset B_{r_0}^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0)$. Take in (3.45) any curve from x_0 to $r_0\hat{x}$ and then the straight line from $r_0\hat{x}$ to $r\hat{x}$ with $r_0 \leq r < \infty$. By (2.12, 3.29, 3.39, 3.42)

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} U_{\tilde{A},A}(rx) = e^{i\lambda_{\infty}(x)} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} e^{i \int_{C(x_0, r\hat{x})} A_{\mathbb{Z}}}$$

and

$$\left| U_{\tilde{A},A}(x) - e^{i\lambda_{\infty}(x)} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} e^{i \int_{C(x_0, r\hat{x})} A_{\mathbb{Z}}} \right| \leq \int_{|x|}^{\infty} c(|x|), \text{ for some } c(r) \in L^1(0, \infty).$$

For any $y \neq 0, y \neq \pm x$ let $C(r\hat{x}, r\hat{y})$ be the straight line from $r\hat{x}$ to $r\hat{y}$. Then,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} e^{i(\int_{C(x_0, r\hat{x})} A_{\mathbb{Z}} - \int_{C(x_0, r\hat{y})} A_{\mathbb{Z}})} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} e^{-i \int_{C(r\hat{x}, r\hat{y})} A_{\mathbb{Z}}} = 1,$$

and it follows that,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} e^{i \int_{C(x_0, r\hat{x})} A_{\mathbb{Z}}} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} e^{i \int_{C(x_0, r\hat{y})} A_{\mathbb{Z}}} = e^{iC_{\tilde{A},A}}$$

for some $C_{\tilde{A},A} \in \mathbb{R}$ that is independent of x . If $\tilde{A}, A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi}(B)$, $n_j(\tilde{A}) = n_j(A) = 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and hence, $A_{\mathbb{Z}} = 0$, what implies that $C_{\tilde{A},A} = 0$.

4 The Hamiltonian

Let us denote $\mathbf{p} := -i\nabla$. The Schrödinger equation for an electron in Λ with electric potential $\mathbf{V}$ and magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ is given by

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi = \frac{1}{2M} (\mathbf{P} - \frac{q}{c} \mathbf{A})^2 \phi + q \mathbf{V}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\hbar$ is Planck's constant, $\mathbf{P} := \hbar \mathbf{p}$ is the momentum operator, c is the speed of light, M and q are, respectively, the mass and the charge of the electron and $\mathbf{A}$ a magnetic potential with $\text{curl} \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$. To simplify the notation we multiply both sides of (4.1) by $\frac{1}{\hbar}$ and we write Schrödinger's equation as follows

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi = \frac{1}{2m} (\mathbf{p} - A)^2 \phi + V \phi, \quad (4.2)$$

with $m := M/\hbar$, $A = \frac{q}{\hbar c} \mathbf{A}$ and $V := \frac{q}{\hbar} \mathbf{V}$. Note that since we write Schrödinger's equation in this form our Hamiltonians below are the physical Hamiltonians divided by $\hbar$. We fix the flux modulo 2π by taking $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}$ where $B := \frac{q}{\hbar c} \mathbf{B}$. Note that this corresponds to fixing the circulations of $\mathbf{A}$ modulo $\frac{\hbar c}{q} 2\pi$, or equivalently, to fixing the fluxes of the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ modulo $\frac{\hbar c}{q} 2\pi$.

For any open set, O , we denote by $\mathcal{H}_s(O)$, $s = 1, 2, \dots$ the Sobolev spaces [1] and by $\mathcal{H}_{s,0}(O)$ the closure of $C_0^\infty(O)$ in the norm of $\mathcal{H}_s(O)$. We define the quadratic form,

$$h_0(\phi, \psi) := \frac{1}{2m} (\mathbf{p}\phi, \mathbf{p}\psi), \quad D(h_0) := \mathcal{H}_{1,0}(\Lambda). \quad (4.3)$$

The associated positive operator in $L^2(\Lambda)$ [23], [31] is $\frac{-1}{2m} \Delta_D$ where Δ_D is the Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary condition on $\partial\Lambda$. We define $H(0,0) := \frac{-1}{2m} \Delta_D$. By elliptic regularity [2], $D(H(0,0)) = \mathcal{H}_2(\Lambda) \cap \mathcal{H}_{1,0}(\Lambda)$.

For any $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B)$ we define,

$$h_A(\phi, \psi) := \frac{1}{2m} ((\mathbf{p} - A)\phi, (\mathbf{p} - A)\psi) = h_0(\phi, \psi) + \frac{1}{2m} (-(\mathbf{p}\phi, A\psi) - (A\phi, \mathbf{p}\psi)) + \frac{1}{2m} (A\phi, A\psi), \quad D(h_A) = \mathcal{H}_{1,0}(\Lambda). \quad (4.4)$$

As the quadratic form $-\frac{1}{2m} ((\mathbf{p}\phi, A\psi) + (A\phi, \mathbf{p}\psi)) + \frac{1}{2m} (A\phi, A\psi)$ is h_0 -bounded with relative bound zero, h_A is closed and positive. We denote by $H(A,0)$ the associated positive self-adjoint operator [23], [31]. $H(A,0)$ is the Hamiltonian with magnetic potential A . Note that as the operator $\frac{1}{2m} (-2A_C \cdot \mathbf{p} + A_C^2)$ is $H(0,0)$ compact we have that $H(0,0) - \frac{1}{m} A_C \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2m} A_C^2$ is self-adjoint on the domain of $H(0,0)$ and then,

$$H(A_C, 0) = H(0,0) - \frac{1}{m} A_C \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2m} A_C^2, \quad D(H(A_C, 0)) = \mathcal{H}_2(\Lambda) \cap \mathcal{H}_{1,0}(\Lambda). \quad (4.5)$$

The electric potential V is a measurable real-valued function defined on Λ . We assume that $|V|$ is h_0 -bounded with relative bound zero. Under this condition [23], [31] the quadratic form,

$$h_{A,V}(\phi, \psi) := h_A(\phi, \psi) + (V\phi, \psi), \quad D(h_{A,V}) = \mathcal{H}_{1,0}(\Lambda), \quad (4.6)$$

is closed and bounded from below. The associated operator, $H(A, V)$, is self-adjoint and bounded from below. $H(A, V)$ is the Hamiltonian with magnetic potential A and electric potential V . If furthermore, V is $-\Delta_D$ compact, the operator $H(0, 0) - \frac{1}{m} A_C \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2m} A_C^2 + V$ is self-adjoint on the domain of $H(0, 0)$ and then,

$$H(A_C, V) = H(0, 0) - \frac{1}{m} A_C \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2m} A_C^2 + V, \quad D(H(A_C, V)) = \mathcal{H}_2(\Lambda) \cap \mathcal{H}_{1,0}(\Lambda). \quad (4.7)$$

We will denote by $U_{\tilde{A}, A}$ the operator of multiplication by $U_{\tilde{A}, A}(x)$. See (3.45). Note that $U_{\tilde{A}, A}$ is unitary in $L^2(\Lambda)$ and that $U_{\tilde{A}, A}^*$ is the operator of multiplication by $U_{A, \tilde{A}}(x)$.

THEOREM 4.1. *Suppose that $\tilde{A}, A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B)$. Then $H(\tilde{A}, V)$ and $H(A, V)$ are unitarily equivalent,*

$$H(\tilde{A}, V) = U_{\tilde{A}, A} H(A, V) U_{\tilde{A}, A}^*, \quad D(H(\tilde{A}, V)) = U_{\tilde{A}, A} D(H(A, V)). \quad (4.8)$$

Proof: As $U_{\tilde{A}, A}$ and $U_{\tilde{A}, A}^*$ are bijections on $\mathcal{H}_{1,0}(\Lambda)$ we have that

$$h_{\tilde{A}, V}(\phi, \psi) = h_{A, V} \left(U_{\tilde{A}, A}^* \phi, U_{\tilde{A}, A}^* \psi \right), \quad \phi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}_{1,0}(\Lambda).$$

Suppose that $\phi \in D(H(\tilde{A}, V))$. Then, for every $\chi \in \mathcal{H}_{1,0}(\Lambda)$,

$$(U_{\tilde{A}, A}^* H(\tilde{A}, V) \phi, \chi) = h_{A, V}(U_{\tilde{A}, A}^* \phi, \chi).$$

This implies that $U_{\tilde{A}, A}^* \phi \in D(H(A, V))$ and that

$$H(A, V) U_{\tilde{A}, A}^* \phi = U_{\tilde{A}, A}^* H(\tilde{A}, V) \phi.$$

what proves the theorem.

5 Scattering

In the following assumptions we summarize the conditions on the magnetic field and the electric potential that we use. We denote by Δ the self-adjoint realization of the Laplacian in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with domain $\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Below we assume that V is Δ -bounded with relative bound zero. By this we mean that the extension of V to $\mathbb{R}^3$ by zero is Δ -bounded with relative bound zero. Using an extension operator from $\mathcal{H}_2(\Lambda)$ to $H_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ [36] we prove that this is equivalent to require that V is bounded from $\mathcal{H}_2(\Lambda)$ into $L^2(\Lambda)$ with relative bound zero. We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the operator norm in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

ASSUMPTION 5.1. *We assume that the magnetic field, B , is a real-valued, bounded 2-form in $\overline{\Lambda}$, that is continuous in a neighborhood of ∂K , where K satisfies Assumption 2.1, and furthermore,*

1. B is closed : $dB|_{\Lambda} \equiv \text{div} B = 0$.

2. *There are no magnetic monopoles in K :*

$$\int_{\partial K_j} B = 0, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}. \quad (5.1)$$

3.

$$|B(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-\mu}, \text{ for some } \mu > 2. \quad (5.2)$$

4. *$d * B|_\Lambda \equiv \text{curl } B$ is bounded and,*

$$|\text{curl } B| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-\mu}. \quad (5.3)$$

5. *The electric potential, V , is a real-valued function, it is Δ -bounded, and*

$$\|F(|x| \geq r)V(-\Delta + I)^{-1}\| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-\alpha}, \text{ for some } \alpha > 1. \quad (5.4)$$

Note that (5.4) implies that V is h_0 -bounded with relative bound zero. Furthermore, condition (5.4) is equivalent to the following assumption [32]

$$\|V(-\Delta + I)^{-1}F(|x| \geq r)\| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-\alpha}, \text{ for some } \alpha > 1. \quad (5.5)$$

Condition (5.4) has a clear intuitive meaning, it is a condition on the decay of V at infinity. However, in the proofs below we use the equivalent statement (5.5).

Let us define,

$$H_0 := -\frac{1}{2m}\Delta, D(H_0) = \mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Let J be the identification operator from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ onto $L^2(\Lambda)$ given by multiplication by the characteristic function of Λ . The wave operators are defined as follows,

$$W_\pm(A, V) := \text{s-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itH(A, V)} J e^{-itH_0}, \quad (5.6)$$

provided that the strong limits exist. We first prove that they exist in the Coulomb gauge.

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Suppose that B and V satisfy Assumption 5.1. Then, the wave operators $W_\pm(A_C, V)$ exist and are isometric.*

Proof: Let $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfy $\chi(x) = 0$ in a neighborhood of K and $\chi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \geq r_0$ with r_0 large enough. Then, since $(1 - \chi(x))(H_0 + I)^{-1}$ is compact,

$$W_\pm(A_C, V) = \text{s-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itH(A_C, V)} \chi(x) e^{-itH_0}.$$

By Duhamel's formula, for $\phi \in D(H_0)$,

$$W_{\pm}(A_C, V)\phi = \chi(x)\phi(x) + \int_0^{\pm\infty} i e^{itH(A_C, V)} [H(A_C, V)\chi(x) - \chi(x)H_0] \phi(x) dt. \quad (5.7)$$

By Theorem 3.7 the proof that the integral in the right-hand side of (5.7) is absolutely convergent is standard. For example, it follows from Lemma 2.2 of [14] taking $\phi = e^{im\mathbf{v}\cdot x}\varphi$, with $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $|\mathbf{v}| \geq 4\eta > 0$, and $\hat{\varphi} \in C_0^\infty(B_{m\eta}^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0))$, what is a dense set in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

□

LEMMA 5.3. (Gauge Transformations) *Suppose that Assumption 5.1 is true. Then, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B)$ the wave operators $W_{\pm}(A, V)$ exist and are isometric. Moreover, if $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B)$, then,*

$$W_{\pm}(\tilde{A}, V) = e^{-iC_{\tilde{A}, A}} U_{\tilde{A}, A} W_{\pm}(A, V) e^{-i\lambda_{\infty}(\pm\mathbf{p})}. \quad (5.8)$$

Proof: Since we already know that $W_{\pm}(A_C, V)$ exist and are isometric it is enough to prove the gauge transformation formula (5.8). We argue as in the proof of Lemma 2.3 of [40]. By (4.8)

$$W_{\pm}(\tilde{A}, V) = U_{\tilde{A}, A} s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itH(A, V)} U_{A, \tilde{A}} J e^{-itH_0} = U_{\tilde{A}, A} s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itH(A, V)} J e^{-i(\lambda_{\infty}(x) + C_{\tilde{A}, A})} e^{-itH_0},$$

where we used that by Lemma 3.10 and Rellich selection theorem $U_{A, \tilde{A}} - e^{-i(\lambda_{\infty}(x) + C_{\tilde{A}, A})}$ is a compact operator from $D(H_0)$ into $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We finish the proof of the lemma as in the proof of equation (2.29) of [40], using the second equation in (3.39).

The scattering operator is defined as

$$S(A, V) := W_+^*(A, V) W_-(A, V).$$

By (5.8)

$$S(\tilde{A}, V) = e^{i\lambda_{\infty}(\mathbf{p})} S(A, V) e^{-i\lambda_{\infty}(-\mathbf{p})}, \quad \tilde{A}, A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B). \quad (5.9)$$

DEFINITION 5.4. *We say that $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B)$ is short-range if*

$$|A(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-1-\varepsilon}, \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon > 0. \quad (5.10)$$

We denote the set of all short-range potentials in $\mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B)$ by $\mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi, \text{SR}}(B)$.

Note that if $\tilde{A}, A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B)$ and $\tilde{A} - A$ satisfies (5.10), λ_{∞} is constant, and then,

$$S(\tilde{A}, V) = S(A, V), \quad \tilde{A}, A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B) \text{ and } \tilde{A} - A \text{ satisfies (5.10)}. \quad (5.11)$$

This implies that,

$$S(A_\Phi, V) = S(A, V), \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B), \quad (5.12)$$

where A_Φ is defined in (3.40). Remark that (5.11) holds if $\tilde{A}, A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi, \text{SR}}(B)$.

We quote below the following result of [40] that we will often use.

LEMMA 5.5. *For any $f \in C_0^\infty(B_{m\eta}^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0))$, $0 \leq \rho < 1$, and for any $j = 1, 2, \dots$ there is a constant C_j such that*

$$\left\| F \left(|x - \mathbf{v}t| > \frac{|\mathbf{v}t|}{4} \right) e^{-itH_0} f \left(\frac{\mathbf{p} - m\mathbf{v}}{v^\rho} \right) F(|x| \leq |\mathbf{v}t|/8) \right\| \leq C_j (1 + |\mathbf{v}t|)^{-j}, \quad (5.13)$$

for $v := |\mathbf{v}| > (8\eta)^{1/(1-\rho)}$.

Proof: Corollary 2.2 of [40].

5.1 High-Velocity Estimates I. The Magnetic Potential

We denote,

$$\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} := \{x \in \Lambda : x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}} \in \Lambda, \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}, \text{ for } \mathbf{v} \neq 0. \quad (5.14)$$

$$L_{A, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(t) := \int_0^t \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau, -\infty \leq t \leq \infty. \quad (5.15)$$

Remark that under translation in configuration or momentum space generated, respectively, by $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{x}$ we obtain

$$e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v}t} f(x) e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v}t} = f(x + \mathbf{v}t), \quad (5.16)$$

$$e^{-im\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{p}) e^{im\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}} = f(\mathbf{p} + m\mathbf{v}), \quad (5.17)$$

and, in particular,

$$e^{-im\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}} e^{-itH_0} e^{im\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}} = e^{-imv^2 t/2} e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v}t} e^{-itH_0}. \quad (5.18)$$

The purpose of the obstacle K is to shield the incoming electrons from the magnetic field inside the obstacle. In order to separate the scattering effect of the magnetic potential from that of the magnetic field inside the obstacle K , we consider asymptotic configurations that have negligible interaction with K for all times in the high-velocity limit. For any non-zero $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we take asymptotic configurations ϕ with compact support in $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$. The free evolution boosted by $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ is given by (5.18) and -to a good approximation- in the limit when $v \rightarrow \infty$ with $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ fixed this can be replaced (modulo an unimportant phase factor) by the classical translation $e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v}t}$. Then, in the high-velocity limit it is a good approximation to assume that the free evolution of our asymptotic configuration is given by $e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v}t} \phi_0 = \phi_0(x - \mathbf{v}t)$, and as ϕ_0 has support in $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$, it has negligible interaction with K for all times. Note that instead of boosting the observables we can boost the asymptotic configurations and consider the high-velocity asymptotic configurations

$$\phi_{\mathbf{v}} := e^{im\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \phi_0.$$

LEMMA 5.6. *Suppose that B, V satisfy Assumption 5.1. Let Λ_0 be a compact subset of $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$, with $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then, for all Φ and all $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B)$ there is a constant C such that,*

$$\left\| \left(e^{-im\mathbf{v} \cdot x} W_{\pm}(A, V) e^{im\mathbf{v} \cdot x} - e^{-iL_{A, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\pm\infty)} \right) \phi \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \frac{1}{v} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (5.19)$$

and if moreover, $\operatorname{div} A \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\Lambda})$,

$$\left\| \left(e^{-im\mathbf{v} \cdot x} W_{\pm}^*(A, V) e^{im\mathbf{v} \cdot x} - e^{iL_{A, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\pm\infty)} \right) \phi \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \frac{1}{v} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (5.20)$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with support $\phi \subset \Lambda_0$.

Proof: We follow the proof of Lemma 2.4 of [40]. We first give the proof in the case of the Coulomb potential A_C . We give the proof for $W_+(A_C, V)$. The proof for $W_-(A_C, V)$ follows in the same way.

Let $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfy $g(p) = 1, |p| \leq 1, g(p) = 0, |p| \geq 2$. Denote

$$\tilde{\phi} := g(\mathbf{p}/v^\rho) \phi, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \rho < 1. \quad (5.21)$$

Then,

$$\left\| \tilde{\phi} - \phi \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{1}{v^{2\rho}} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (5.22)$$

Hence, it is enough to prove (5.19) for $\tilde{\phi}$.

By our assumption there is a function $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\chi \equiv 0$ in a neighborhood of K and $\chi(x) = 1, x \in \{x : x = y + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}, y \in \operatorname{support} \phi, \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{x : |x| \geq M\}$ for some M large enough. We use the following notation,

$$H_1 := \frac{1}{v} e^{-im\mathbf{v} \cdot x} H_0 e^{im\mathbf{v} \cdot x}, \quad H_2 := \frac{1}{v} e^{-im\mathbf{v} \cdot x} H(A_C, V) e^{im\mathbf{v} \cdot x}. \quad (5.23)$$

Note that,

$$\left(e^{-im\mathbf{v} \cdot x} W_+(A_C, V) e^{im\mathbf{v} \cdot x} - \chi(x) e^{-iL_{A_C, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\infty)} \right) \tilde{\phi} = \text{s-} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[e^{itH_2} \chi(x) e^{-itH_1} - \chi(x) e^{-iL_{A_C, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(t)} \right] \tilde{\phi}. \quad (5.24)$$

Denote,

$$P(t, \tau) := e^{i\tau H_2} i \left[H_2 e^{-iL_{A_C, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(t-\tau)} \chi(x) - e^{-iL_{A_C, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(t-\tau)} \chi(x) (H_1 - \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A_C(x + (t-\tau)\hat{\mathbf{v}})) \right] e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\phi}. \quad (5.25)$$

Then, by Duhamel's formula,

$$\left[e^{itH_2} \chi(x) e^{-itH_1} - \chi(x) e^{-iL_{A_C, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(t)} \right] \tilde{\phi} = \int_0^t d\tau P(t, \tau). \quad (5.26)$$

We designate,

$$b(x, t) := A_C(x + t\hat{\mathbf{v}}) + \int_0^t (\hat{\mathbf{v}} \times B)(x + \tau\hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau. \quad (5.27)$$

For $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\mathbf{f}_t(x) := \mathbf{f}(x, t) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3)$ we define,

$$\Xi_{\mathbf{f}}(x, t) := \frac{1}{2m} \chi(x) \left[-\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{f}(x, t) - \mathbf{f}(x, t) \cdot \mathbf{p} + (\mathbf{f}(x, t))^2 \right]. \quad (5.28)$$

We have that [40],

$$P(t, \tau) = T_1 + T_2 + T_3, \quad (5.29)$$

with

$$T_1 := \frac{1}{v} e^{i\tau H_2} i e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(x, t-\tau)} (\Xi_b(x, t-\tau) + \chi V(x)) e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\phi}, \quad (5.30)$$

$$T_2 := \frac{1}{2mv} e^{i\tau H_2} i e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(x, t-\tau)} \{-(\Delta \chi) + 2(\mathbf{p}\chi) \cdot \mathbf{p} - 2b(x, t-\tau) \cdot (\mathbf{p}\chi)\} e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\phi}, \quad (5.31)$$

$$T_3 := e^{i\tau H_2} i e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(x, t-\tau)} [(\mathbf{p}\chi) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}}] e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\phi}. \quad (5.32)$$

Note that ([4], equation (2.18))

$$\left\| \int_0^{t-\tau} d\nu (\hat{\mathbf{v}} \times B)(x + \nu \hat{\mathbf{v}}) F(|x - \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}| \leq |\tau|/4) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \frac{1}{(1 + |\tau|)^{\mu-1}}, \quad (5.33)$$

$$\left\| \int_0^{t-\tau} d\nu (\nabla \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{v}} \times B))(x + \nu \hat{\mathbf{v}}) F(|x - \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}| \leq |\tau|/4) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \left\| \int_0^{t-\tau} d\nu (\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \text{curl } B)(x + \nu \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \quad (5.34)$$

$$F(|x - \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}| \leq |\tau|/4) \Big\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \frac{1}{(1 + |\tau|)^{\mu-1}}.$$

Using Theorem 3.7, Lemma 5.5, (5.2, 5.3, 5.5, 5.33, 5.34) we prove as in the proof of Lemma 2.4 of [40] that,

$$\|T_1(\tau)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{C}{v} \frac{1}{(1 + |\tau|)^{\min(2-\varepsilon, \mu-1, \alpha)}} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (5.35)$$

$$\|T_2(\tau)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{C_j}{v} \frac{1}{(1 + |\tau|)^j} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, j = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (5.36)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \|T_3(\tau)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{C}{v} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (5.37)$$

For the reader's convenience we estimate one of the terms. Denote by

$$\eta(x, t) := \int_0^t (\hat{\mathbf{v}} \times B)(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau. \quad (5.38)$$

Then, by Lemma 5.5 and (5.33),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{mv} e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(x, t-\tau)} \eta(x, t-\tau) e^{-i\tau H_1} \cdot \mathbf{p} \tilde{\phi} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{C}{v} \left[\|\eta(x, t-\tau) F(|x - \tau \mathbf{v}| > |\tau|/4) e^{-iH_0 \tau/v}\| \right. \\ & \left. g\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}-m\mathbf{v}}{v\rho}\right) F(|x| \leq |\tau|/8) \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\eta(x, t-\tau) F(|x - \tau \mathbf{v}| \leq |\tau|/4)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|F(|x| \geq |\tau|/8) \mathbf{p} \cdot \tilde{\phi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{C}{(1+|\tau|)^{\mu-1}} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.26, 5.29, 5.35, 5.36, 5.37)

$$\left\| \left[e^{itH_2} \chi(x) e^{-itH_1} - \chi(x) e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(t)} \right] \tilde{\phi} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{C}{v} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (5.39)$$

By (5.24) this proves (5.19) for A_C . Given $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B)$ we define A_Φ as in (3.40). As $A_\Phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Phi(B)$, we prove that (5.19) holds for A_Φ as in the proof of Lemma 2.4 of [40] using the formulae for change of gauge (5.8). Then, we prove that it is true for A using the gauge transformation formulae between A and A_Φ , note that in this case $\lambda \equiv \lambda_\infty \equiv 0$, observing that

$$e^{-iC_{A, A_\Phi}} = e^{-i(\int_{C(x_0, x)} A_{\mathbb{Z}} + \int_0^{\pm\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A_{\mathbb{Z}}(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau)} = (U_{A, A_\Phi})^* e^{-i \int_0^{\pm\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A_{\mathbb{Z}}(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau}, \quad (5.40)$$

and using (3.42) with $\lambda \equiv 0$.

We now prove (5.20). Note that ([4], equation 2.12)

$$(\mathbf{p} - A(x))e^{-iL_{A, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(t)} = e^{-iL_{A, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(t)} \left(\mathbf{p} - A(x + t\hat{\mathbf{v}}) - \int_0^t (\hat{\mathbf{v}} \times B)(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau \right). \quad (5.41)$$

Then, since $\operatorname{div} A \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\Lambda})$ it follows from Sobolev's imbedding theorem [1] that,

$$\|e^{iL_{A, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\pm\infty)} \phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (5.42)$$

For simplicity we denote below $W_\pm(A, V)$ by $W_\pm$ and we define,

$$W_{\pm, \hat{\mathbf{v}}} := e^{-im\mathbf{v} \cdot x} W_\pm e^{im\mathbf{v} \cdot x}. \quad (5.43)$$

As the wave operators are isometric, $W_{\pm, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}^* W_{\pm, \hat{\mathbf{v}}} = I$ and then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(W_{\pm, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}^* - e^{iL_{A, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\pm\infty)} \right) \phi \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} &= \left\| W_{\pm, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}^* \phi - W_{\pm, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}^* W_{\pm, \hat{\mathbf{v}}} e^{iL_{A, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\pm\infty)} \phi \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq \left\| (W_{\pm, \hat{\mathbf{v}}} - e^{-iL_{A, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\pm\infty)}) e^{iL_{A, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\pm\infty)} \phi \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \frac{1}{v} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

We now state the main result of this subsection.

THEOREM 5.7. (Reconstruction Formula I) *Suppose that B, V satisfy Assumption 5.1. Let Λ_0 be a compact subset of $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$, with $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then, for all Φ and all $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B)$ there is a constant C such that,*

$$\left\| \left(e^{-im\mathbf{v} \cdot x} S(A, V) e^{im\mathbf{v} \cdot x} - e^{i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau} \right) \phi \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \frac{1}{v} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (5.44)$$

$$\left\| \left(e^{-im\mathbf{v} \cdot x} S(A, V)^* e^{im\mathbf{v} \cdot x} - e^{-i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau} \right) \phi \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \frac{1}{v} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (5.45)$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with support $\phi \subset \Lambda_0$.

Proof: We use the same notation as in the end of the proof of Lemma 5.6.

First we prove (5.44) and (5.45) for A_C ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(e^{-im\mathbf{v}\cdot x} S(A_C, V) e^{im\mathbf{v}\cdot x} - e^{i\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}}\cdot A_C(x+\tau\hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau} \right) \phi \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \left\| W_{+,\hat{\mathbf{v}}}^* W_{-,\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \phi - W_{+,\hat{\mathbf{v}}}^* W_{+,\hat{\mathbf{v}}} e^{i(L_{A_C,\hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\infty) - L_{A_C,\hat{\mathbf{v}}}(-\infty))} \phi \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ & \leq \left\| [W_{-,\hat{\mathbf{v}}} - e^{-iL_{A_C,\hat{\mathbf{v}}}(-\infty)}] \phi - [W_{+,\hat{\mathbf{v}}} - e^{-iL_{A_C,\hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\infty)}] e^{i(L_{A_C,\hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\infty) - L_{A_C,\hat{\mathbf{v}}}(-\infty))} \phi \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{C}{v} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof for $S(A_C, V)^*$ follows in the same way.

Now we prove (5.44) for $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B)$, the proof of (5.45) follows in the same way.

By (5.12), $S(A, V) = S(A_\Phi, V)$. From (5.40) it follows that

$$e^{i\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}}\cdot A_\Phi(x+\tau\hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau} = e^{-iC_{A, A_\Phi}} e^{iC_{A, A_\Phi}} = 1,$$

and thus,

$$e^{i\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}}\cdot A(x+\tau\hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau} = e^{i\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}}\cdot A_\Phi(x+\tau\hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau}. \quad (5.46)$$

Then it is enough to prove (5.44) for $A = A_C + \nabla\lambda$.

By (5.9), (5.17) and as λ in homogenous of order zero,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (e^{-im\mathbf{v}\cdot x} S(A, V) e^{im\mathbf{v}\cdot x} - e^{i\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}}\cdot A(x+\tau\hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau}) \phi \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \\ & \left\| (e^{i\lambda_\infty(\frac{\mathbf{p}}{mv} + \hat{\mathbf{v}})} e^{-im\mathbf{v}\cdot x} S(A_C, V) e^{im\mathbf{v}\cdot x} e^{-i\lambda_\infty(-\frac{\mathbf{p}}{mv} - \hat{\mathbf{v}})} - e^{i\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}}\cdot A(x+\tau\hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau}) \phi \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \\ & \left\| (e^{i\lambda_\infty(\frac{\mathbf{p}}{mv} + \hat{\mathbf{v}})} e^{-im\mathbf{v}\cdot x} S(A_C, V) e^{im\mathbf{v}\cdot x} (e^{-i\lambda_\infty(-\frac{\mathbf{p}}{mv} - \hat{\mathbf{v}})} - e^{-i\lambda_\infty(-\hat{\mathbf{v}})}) \phi \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \\ & \left\| (e^{i\lambda_\infty(\frac{\mathbf{p}}{mv} + \hat{\mathbf{v}})} (e^{-im\mathbf{v}\cdot x} S(A_C, V) e^{im\mathbf{v}\cdot x} - e^{i\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}}\cdot A_C(x+\tau\hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau}) e^{-i\lambda_\infty(-\hat{\mathbf{v}})}) \phi \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \\ & \left\| (e^{i\lambda_\infty(\frac{\mathbf{p}}{mv} + \hat{\mathbf{v}})} - e^{i\lambda_\infty(\hat{\mathbf{v}})}) e^{i\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}}\cdot A_C(x+\tau\hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau} e^{-i\lambda_\infty(-\hat{\mathbf{v}})} \phi \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \frac{1}{v} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from (3.39), (5.42) and (5.44) for A_C .

5.2 High-Velocity Estimates II. The Electric Potential

Recall that $\tilde{\phi}$ is defined in (5.21) and that H_1 is given by (5.23).

LEMMA 5.8. *Let $h : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function with compact support contained in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$, and let ϕ be a function in $\mathcal{H}_6(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with compact support contained in $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$. Then, for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists constant C_l such that following inequalities hold:*

$$i) \quad \|h e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\phi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_l \frac{1}{(1+|\tau|)^l} \frac{1}{v^{3-\epsilon}} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \quad \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

$$ii) \quad \|h \mathbf{p} e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\phi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_l \frac{1}{(1+|\tau|)^l} \frac{1}{v^{2-\epsilon}} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_5(\mathbb{R}^3)} \quad \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

Proof: We prove i), ii) follows in a similar way.

Clearly,

$$\|\tilde{\phi} - \phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{1}{v^{6\rho}} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_6(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \text{ where } \rho \geq 1/2. \quad (5.47)$$

It follows from (5.18) and the properties of the support of h and ϕ that

$$\|he^{-i\tau H_1} \phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \|he^{-i\tau \mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}}} (e^{-i\tau \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2mv}} - I - (-i\tau \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2mv}) - \frac{1}{2}(-i\tau \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2mv})^2) \phi\|.$$

Observing that

$$|(e^{-i\tau \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2mv}} - I - (-i\tau \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2mv}) - \frac{1}{2}(-i\tau \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2mv})^2)| \leq C|\tau|^3 \frac{\mathbf{p}^6}{(2mv)^3},$$

we obtain,

$$\|he^{-i\tau H_1} \phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \frac{(1+|\tau|)^3}{(2mv)^3} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_6(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (5.48)$$

We prove as in (5.36) that there exist a constant C_l such that,

$$\|he^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\phi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_l \frac{1}{(1+|\tau|)^l} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \quad (5.49)$$

Finally we obtain i) from (5.47) and interpolating (5.48, 5.49).

□

We denote,

$$a(\hat{\mathbf{v}}, x) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}} d\tau, \quad (5.50)$$

and for $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_6(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with compact support in $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$,

$$\phi_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} := e^{im\mathbf{v} \cdot x} \phi_0.$$

Recall that $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$ is defined in (5.14), that η is defined in (5.38) and that $\mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B)$ is defined in Definition 5.4.

THEOREM 5.9. (Reconstruction Formula II) *Suppose that B, V satisfy Assumption 5.1. Let Λ_0 be a compact subset of $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$, with $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then, for all Φ and all $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi, \text{SR}}(B)$*

$$\begin{aligned} v \left([S(A, V) - e^{ia(\hat{\mathbf{v}}, x)}] \phi_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}, \psi_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \right) &= \left(-ie^{ia(\hat{\mathbf{v}}, x)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau \phi_0, \psi_0 \right) \\ &+ \left(-ie^{ia(\hat{\mathbf{v}}, x)} \int_{-\infty}^0 \Xi_{\eta}(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}, -\infty) d\tau \phi_0, \psi_0 \right) + \left(-i \int_0^{\infty} \Xi(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}, \infty) d\tau e^{ia(\hat{\mathbf{v}}, x)} \phi_0, \psi_0 \right) + R(\mathbf{v}, \phi_0, \psi_0), \end{aligned} \quad (5.51)$$

where,

$$|R(\mathbf{v}, \phi_0, \psi_0)| \leq C \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{v^{\min(\mu-2, \alpha-1)}}, & \text{if } \min(\mu-3, \alpha-2) < 0, \\ \frac{|\ln v|}{v}, & \text{if } \min(\mu-3, \alpha-2) = 0, \\ \frac{1}{v}, & \text{if } \min(\mu-3, \alpha-2) > 0, \end{cases} \quad (5.52)$$

for some constant C and all $\phi_0, \psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_6(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with compact support in Λ_0 .

Proof: We first prove the theorem in the Coulomb gauge A_C . Note that,

$$v \left([S(A, V) - e^{ia}] \phi_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}, \psi_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \right) = v \left(e^{-iL_{A_C, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(-\infty)} \phi_0, \mathcal{R}_+ \psi_0 \right) + v \left(\mathcal{R}_- \phi_0, e^{-iL_{A_C, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\infty)} \psi_0 \right) + v \left(\mathcal{R}_- \phi_0, \mathcal{R}_+ \psi_0 \right), \quad (5.53)$$

where,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\pm} := e^{-im\mathbf{v} \cdot x} W_{\pm}(A_C, V) e^{im\mathbf{v} \cdot x} - e^{-iL_{A_C, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\pm\infty)}.$$

By Lemma 5.6

$$v |(\mathcal{R}_- \phi_0, \mathcal{R}_+ \psi_0)| \leq C \frac{1}{v} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_6(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (5.54)$$

We prove below that,

$$v \left(e^{-iL_{A_C, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(-\infty)} \phi_0, \mathcal{R}_+ \psi_0 \right) = \left(-i \int_0^{\infty} (\Xi_{\eta}(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}, \infty) + \chi V(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}})) d\tau e^{ia} \phi_0, \psi_0 \right) + R_+(\mathbf{v}, \phi_0, \psi_0), \quad (5.55)$$

$$v \left(\mathcal{R}_- \phi_0, e^{-iL_{A_C, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\infty)} \psi_0 \right) = \left(-ie^{ia} \int_{-\infty}^0 (\Xi_{\eta}(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}, -\infty) + \chi V(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}})) d\tau \phi_0, \psi_0 \right) + R_-(\mathbf{v}, \phi_0, \psi_0), \quad (5.56)$$

where $R_{\pm}$ satisfy (5.52). Note that (5.56) follows from (5.55) by time inversion and charge conjugation in the magnetic potential, i.e., by taking complex conjugates and changing A_C to $-A_C$. It can also be proved as in the proof of (5.55) that we give below in seven steps.

We use the notation of the proof of Lemma 5.6. For simplicity we denote by $O(r)$ a term that satisfies

$$|O(r)| \leq C \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_6(\mathbb{R}^3)} r.$$

Step 1

$$v \left(e^{-iL_{A_C, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(-\infty)} \phi_0, \mathcal{R}_+ \psi_0 \right) = \left(e^{-iL_{A_C, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(-\infty)} \phi_0, \right. \quad (5.57)$$

$$\left. \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t d\tau e^{i\tau H_2} i e^{-iL_{A_C, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(t-\tau)} [\Xi_b(x, t-\tau) + \chi V(x)] e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\psi} \right) + O(1/v).$$

Equation (5.57) follows from (5.24), (5.26), (5.29) and the following formula that is easily obtained from Lemma 5.8

$$\|T_2 + T_3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_l \frac{\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_6(\mathbb{R}^3)}}{v^{3-\epsilon}(1+|\tau|)^l}, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0, l = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (5.58)$$

that improves (5.36, 5.37).

Step 2

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t d\tau e^{i\tau H_2} i e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{v}}(t-\tau)} [\Xi_b(x, t-\tau) + \chi V(x)] e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\psi} = \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t d\tau e^{i\tau H_2} i e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{v}}(t-\tau)} [\Xi_\eta(x, t-\tau) + \chi V(x)] e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\psi}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.59)$$

This follows from Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem and as

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (\Xi_b(x, t-\tau) - \Xi_\eta(x, t-\tau)) e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\psi} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = 0,$$

and, moreover,

$$\left\| (\Xi_b(x, t-\tau) - \Xi_\eta(x, t-\tau)) e^{-itH_1} \tilde{\psi} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq h(\tau), \text{ for some } h(\tau) \in L^1(0, \infty).$$

This estimate is proven as in the proof of Lemma 5.6, using Lemma 5.5.

Step 3

$$\begin{aligned} v (e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{v}}(-\infty)} \phi_0, R_+ \psi_0) = \int_0^t d\tau (e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{v}}(-\infty)} \phi_0, \\ e^{i\tau H_2} i e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{v}}(\infty)} [\Xi_\eta(x, \infty) + \chi V(x)] e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\psi}) + O(1/v) + 0 (1/(1+|t|)^{\min(\mu-2, \alpha-1)}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.60)$$

This follows from Steps 1 and 2, and from the following argument. As in the proof of Lemma 5.6 we prove that

$$\left\| [\Xi_\eta(x, t-\tau) + \chi V(x)] e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\psi} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C (1/(1+|\tau|)^{\min(\mu-1, \alpha)}) \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (5.61)$$

Then by Fatou's lemma

$$\left\| [\Xi_\eta(x, \infty) + \chi V(x)] e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\psi} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C (1/(1+|\tau|)^{\min(\mu-1, \alpha)}) \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (5.62)$$

Hence, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t d\tau e^{i\tau H_2} i e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{v}}(t-\tau)} [\Xi_\eta(x, t-\tau) + \chi V(x)] e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\psi} \\ = \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{i\tau H_2} i e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{v}}(\infty)} [\Xi_\eta(x, \infty) + \chi V(x)] e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\psi}, \end{aligned}$$

where the limit is on the strong topology of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We complete the proof of (5.60) using (5.62)

We now estimate the integrand in (5.60).

Step 4

$$\begin{aligned} (e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{v}}(-\infty)} \phi_0, e^{i\tau H_2} e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{v}}(\infty)} i [\Xi_\eta(x, \infty) + \chi V(x)] e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\psi}) = \\ (e^{i(L_{AC, \hat{v}}(\tau) - L_{AC, \hat{v}}(-\infty))} \phi_0, e^{i\tau H_1} e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{v}}(\infty)} i [\Xi_\eta(x, \infty) + \chi V(x)] e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\psi}) + \frac{1}{v} O(\frac{1}{(1+|\tau|)^{\min(\mu-2, \alpha-1)}}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.63)$$

Denote by χ_Λ the characteristic function of Λ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{v}}(-\infty)} \phi_0, e^{i\tau H_2} e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{v}}(\infty)} i [\Xi_\eta(x, \infty) + \chi V(x)] e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\psi}) = \\ (e^{i\tau H_1} \chi_\Lambda e^{-i\tau H_2} e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{v}}(-\infty)} \phi_0, e^{i\tau H_1} e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{v}}(\infty)} i [\Xi_\eta(x, \infty) + \chi V(x)] e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\psi}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (5.63) will be proved if we can replace $e^{i\tau H_1} \chi_\Lambda e^{-i\tau H_2}$ by $\chi e^{iL_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\tau)} e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(-\infty)}$ adding the error term. But, this follows from (5.62) and the estimate,

$$\left\| \left(e^{i\tau H_1} \chi_\Lambda e^{-i\tau H_2} - \chi e^{iL_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\tau)} e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(-\infty)} \phi_0 \right) \right\| \leq C \frac{1+|\tau|}{v} \|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (5.64)$$

that we prove below.

We designate,

$$\varphi_\tau := e^{i(L_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\tau) - L_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(-\infty))} \phi_0.$$

We have that,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(e^{i\tau H_1} \chi_\Lambda e^{-i\tau H_2} - \chi e^{iL_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\tau)} e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(-\infty)} \phi_0 \right) = \\ & e^{i\tau H_1} \chi_\Lambda e^{-i\tau H_2} \left(e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\tau)} - e^{i\tau H_2} \chi e^{-i\tau H_1} \right) \varphi_\tau + \left(e^{i\tau H_1} \chi e^{-i\tau H_1} - \chi \right) \varphi_\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (5.65)$$

By (5.41)

$$\|\varphi_\tau\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (5.66)$$

Hence, using

$$\left| e^{-i\tau(p+m\mathbf{v})^2/2mv} - e^{-i\tau(p\cdot\hat{\mathbf{v}}+v^2/2mv)} \right| \leq C \frac{|\tau|p^2}{2mv},$$

we prove that,

$$\left\| \left(e^{-i\tau H_1} - e^{-i\tau(p\cdot\hat{\mathbf{v}}+v^2/2mv)} \right) \varphi_\tau \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \frac{|\tau|}{v} \|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (5.67)$$

and since $\chi - 1 \equiv 0$ on the support of $e^{-i\tau(p\cdot\hat{\mathbf{v}}+v^2/2mv)} \varphi_\tau$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(e^{i\tau H_1} \chi e^{-i\tau H_1} - \chi \right) \varphi_\tau \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} &= \left\| e^{i\tau H_1} (\chi - 1) (e^{-i\tau H_1} - e^{-i\tau(p\cdot\hat{\mathbf{v}}+v^2/2mv)}) \varphi_\tau \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq C \frac{|\tau|}{v} \|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.68)$$

Then, (5.64) follows from (5.39, 5.65, 5.66, 5.68).

Step 5.

We now replace $e^{\pm i\tau H_1}$ by $e^{\pm i(\tau p\cdot\hat{\mathbf{v}}+v^2/2mv)}$. We will prove that,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(e^{i(L_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\tau) - L_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(-\infty))} \phi_0, e^{i\tau H_1} e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\infty)} i[\Xi_\eta(x, \infty) + \chi V(x)] e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\psi} \right) = \left(e^{i(L_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\tau) - L_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(-\infty))} \phi_0, \right. \\ & \left. e^{i\tau p\cdot\hat{\mathbf{v}}} e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\infty)} i[\Xi_\eta(x, \infty) + \chi V(x)] e^{-i\tau p\cdot\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \tilde{\psi} \right) + \frac{1}{v} O \left(\frac{1}{(1+|\tau|)^{\min(\mu-2, \alpha-1)}} \right) = \end{aligned} \quad (5.69)$$

$$\left(\phi_0, e^{-ia(\hat{\mathbf{v}}, x)} i[\Xi_\eta(x + \tau\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \infty) + \chi V(x + \tau\hat{\mathbf{v}})] \tilde{\psi} \right) + \frac{1}{v} O \left(\frac{1}{(1+|\tau|)^{\min(\mu-2, \alpha-1)}} \right), \tau \geq 0.$$

Recall that φ_τ is defined below (5.64). By (5.62) and (5.67),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(e^{-i\tau H_1} \varphi_\tau, e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\infty)} i[\Xi_\eta(x, \infty) + \chi V(x)] e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\psi} \right) = \left(e^{-(i\tau p\cdot\hat{\mathbf{v}}+v^2/2mv)} \varphi_\tau, \right. \\ & \left. e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\infty)} i[\Xi_\eta(x, \infty) + \chi V(x)] e^{-i\tau H_1} \tilde{\psi} \right) + \frac{1}{v} O \left(\frac{1}{(1+|\tau|)^{\min(\mu-2, \alpha-1)}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.70)$$

The first equality in (5.69) follows from (5.67) and as,

$$\left\| [\Xi_\eta(x, \infty) + \chi V(x)] e^{iL_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(\infty)} e^{-i(\tau p\cdot\hat{\mathbf{v}}+v^2/2mv)} \varphi_\tau \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \frac{1}{(1+|\tau|)^{\min(\mu-1, \alpha)}} \|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \tau > 0, \quad (5.71)$$

because ϕ_0 has compact support, $e^{-i\tau\mathbf{p}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$ is just a translation and the decay properties of $V(x)$ and $\Xi_\eta(x, \infty)$ (in the direction $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$). The second equality is immediate.

By (5.60, 5.63, 5.69)

$$\begin{aligned} v \left(e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(-\infty)} \phi_0, R_+ \psi_0 \right) &= \int_0^t d\tau \left(\phi_0, e^{-ia(\hat{\mathbf{v}}, x)} i[\Xi_\eta(x + \tau\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \infty) + \chi V(x + \tau\hat{\mathbf{v}})] \tilde{\psi} \right) + \\ O(1/v) + O\left(1/(1+|t|)^{\min(\mu-2, \alpha-1)}\right) &+ \begin{cases} \frac{1}{v} O(\ln(1+|t|)), & \text{if } \min(\mu-2, \alpha-1) = 1, \\ \frac{1}{v} O\left(\frac{1}{(1+|t|)^{\min(\mu-3, \alpha-2, 0)}}\right), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (5.72)$$

Step 6

We now prove that,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t d\tau \left(\phi_0, e^{-ia(\hat{\mathbf{v}}, x)} i[\Xi_\eta(x + \tau\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \infty) + \chi V(x + \tau\hat{\mathbf{v}})] \tilde{\psi} \right) \\ &- \int_0^\infty d\tau \left(\phi_0, e^{-ia(\hat{\mathbf{v}}, x)} i[\Xi_\eta(x + \tau\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \infty) + \chi V(x + \tau\hat{\mathbf{v}})] \psi_0 \right) = O(1/v) + O\left(\frac{1}{(1+|t|)^{\min(\mu-2, \alpha-1)}}\right), t > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.73)$$

As ϕ_0 has compact support,

$$\left\| [\Xi_\eta(x + \tau\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \infty) + \chi V(x + \tau\hat{\mathbf{v}})] e^{ia(\hat{\mathbf{v}}, x)} \phi_0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \frac{1}{(1+|\tau|)^{\min(\mu-1, \alpha)}} \|\phi_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \tau > 0. \quad (5.74)$$

Equations (5.22) and (5.74) prove (5.73).

By (5.72, 5.73)

$$\begin{aligned} v \left(e^{-iL_{AC, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}(-\infty)} \phi_0, R_+ \psi_0 \right) &= \int_0^\infty d\tau \left(\phi_0, e^{-ia(\hat{\mathbf{v}}, x)} i[\Xi_\eta(x + \tau\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \infty) + \chi V(x + \tau\hat{\mathbf{v}})] \psi_0 \right) + \\ O(1/v) + O\left(1/(1+|t|)^{\min(\mu-2, \alpha-1)}\right) &+ \begin{cases} \frac{1}{v} O(\ln(1+|t|)), & \text{if } \min(\mu-2, \alpha-1) = 1, \\ \frac{1}{v} O\left(\frac{1}{(1+|t|)^{\min(\mu-3, \alpha-2, 0)}}\right), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (5.75)$$

Finally, taking $t = v$ we obtain (5.55) in the Coulomb gauge, and then, (5.51) is proven for A_C .

Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi, \text{SR}}(B)$. By (5.11) $S(A, V) = S(A_C, V)$. As λ_∞ is constant, $e^{i \int_{-\infty}^\infty A(x + \tau\hat{\mathbf{v}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}} d\tau} = e^{i \int_{-\infty}^\infty A_C(x + \tau\hat{\mathbf{v}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}} d\tau}$, and it follows that (5.51) holds for $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B)$.

6 Reconstruction of the Magnetic Field and the Electric Potential Outside the Obstacle

In this section we obtain a method for the unique reconstruction of the magnetic field and the electric potential outside the obstacle, K , from the high-velocity limit of the scattering operator. The method is given in the proof of Theorem 6.3 and is summarized in Remark 6.4.

DEFINITION 6.1. We denote by Λ_{rec} the set of points $x \in \Lambda$ such that for some two-dimensional plane P_x we have that $x + P_x \subset \Lambda$.

Note that if K is convex $\Lambda_{\text{rec}} = \Lambda$.

LEMMA 6.2. *For every $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B)$ and every unit vector, $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$, in $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have that*

$$\nabla \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \times B(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau, \quad (6.1)$$

in distribution sense in $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$.

Proof:

The following identity holds in distribution sense in $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$ (this is just the triple vector product formula),

$$\hat{\mathbf{v}} \times (\nabla \times A) = \nabla(\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A) - (\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla)A. \quad (6.2)$$

Then, for every $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}})$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \times B(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau [\phi] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \times B(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau \phi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dx \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-r}^r d\tau \hat{\mathbf{v}} \times B(x) \phi(x - \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dx \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-r}^r (-\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(x) (\nabla \phi)(x - \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) + A(x) (\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla \phi)(x - \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}})) = \\ &= \left(\nabla \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau \right) [\phi] + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A(x) (\phi(x - r \hat{\mathbf{v}}) - \phi(x + r \hat{\mathbf{v}})) = \left(\nabla \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau \right) [\phi], \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used the decay of A and the fact that ϕ has compact support.

THEOREM 6.3. *(Reconstruction of the Magnetic Field and the Electric Potential) Suppose that B, V satisfy Assumption 5.1. Then, for any flux, Φ , and all $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B)$, the high-velocity limits of $S(A, V)$ in (5.44) known for all Λ_0 , all unit vectors $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ and all $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with support $\phi_0 \subset \Lambda_0$, uniquely determine $B(x)$ for almost every $x \in \Lambda_{\text{rec}}$. Furthermore, for any flux, Φ , and all $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi, \text{SR}}(B)$, the high-velocity limits of $S(A, V)$ in (5.51) known for all Λ_0 , all unit vectors $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ and all $\phi_0, \psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_6(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with support ϕ_0 , support $\psi_0 \subset \Lambda_0$, uniquely determine $V(x)$ for almost every $x \in \Lambda_{\text{rec}}$.*

Proof: We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [14] (see also the proof of Theorem 1.4 [40]) with the modifications that are necessary to take the obstacle into account and to reconstruct the magnetic field.

Let us fix a $x_0 \in \Lambda_{\text{rec}}$. For each $j = 1, 2, 3$ we take vectors unit vectors $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_j, \hat{\mathbf{v}}_j$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the following conditions are satisfied.

1.

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_j \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}}_i = 0, i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

2. The unit vectors

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}}_j := \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j \times \hat{\mathbf{v}}_j, j = 1, 2, 3,$$

are linearly independent.

3.

$$B_\varepsilon^{\mathbb{R}^3}(x_0) + p(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_j, \hat{\mathbf{v}}_j) \subset \Lambda, j = 1, 2, 3,$$

where $p(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_j, \hat{\mathbf{v}}_j)$ is the two-dimensional plane generated by $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_j, \hat{\mathbf{v}}_j$.

For any $z = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we define,

$$\phi_j(z) := e^{-i(z_1 \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j + z_2 \hat{\mathbf{v}}_j) \cdot \mathbf{p}} \phi_0, \psi_j(z) := e^{-i(z_1 \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j + z_2 \hat{\mathbf{v}}_j) \cdot \mathbf{p}} \psi_0, j = 1, 2, 3, \phi_0, \psi_0 \in C_0^\infty \left(B_\varepsilon^{\mathbb{R}^3}(x_0) \right). \quad (6.3)$$

From the limit (5.44) we uniquely reconstruct

$$e^{i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau}$$

for all $x \in \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$ and then, we reconstruct $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau + 2\pi n(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ with $n(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ an integer that is locally constant.

By Lemma 6.2 we also reconstruct uniquely

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \times B(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau \quad (6.4)$$

for a.e. $x \in \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$.

Take now $\hat{\mathbf{v}} \in p(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_j, \hat{\mathbf{v}}_j)$. Hence, we uniquely reconstruct

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{n}}_j \cdot B(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau = -\hat{\mathbf{n}}_j \cdot \left(\hat{\mathbf{v}} \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \times B(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau \right), \quad (6.5)$$

for a.e. $x \in \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$. We used the triple vector product formula, $a \times (b \times c) = (a \cdot c)b - (a \cdot b)c$. We now define $F_j : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$F_j(z) := (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_j \cdot B(x) \phi_j(z), \psi_j(z)).$$

F_j is continuous and

$$|F_j(z)| \leq C(1 + |z|)^{-\mu}, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Moreover, we uniquely reconstruct from (5.44) the Radon transforms,

$$\tilde{F}_j(\hat{\mathbf{w}}; z) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_j(z + \tau \hat{\mathbf{w}}) d\tau = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{n}}_j \cdot B(x + \tau(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_1 \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j + \hat{\mathbf{w}}_2 \hat{\mathbf{v}}_j)) d\tau \phi_j(z), \psi_j(z) \right),$$

where $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\hat{\mathbf{w}} := (\hat{\mathbf{w}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{w}}_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ has modulus one.

Inverting this Radon transform (see Theorem 2.17 of [18], [19], [27]) we uniquely reconstruct $F_j(z)$ and in particular $F_j(0) = (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_j \cdot B \phi_0, \psi_0)$ and hence, we uniquely reconstruct $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_j \cdot B(x)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$ for a.e. $x \in B_\varepsilon^{\mathbb{R}^3}(x_0)$ and as the $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_j$ are linearly independent we uniquely reconstruct $B(x)$ for a.e. $x \in B_\varepsilon^{\mathbb{R}^3}(x_0)$. Since $x_0 \in \Lambda_{\text{rec}}$ is arbitrary we uniquely reconstruct $B(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \Lambda_{\text{rec}}$.

We now uniquely reconstruct V . Take any $x_0 \in \Lambda_{\text{rec}}$. Let $\hat{\mathbf{u}}, \hat{\mathbf{w}}$ be orthonormal vectors such that $B_\varepsilon^{\mathbb{R}^3}(x_0) + p(\hat{\mathbf{u}}, \hat{\mathbf{w}}) \subset \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$. We define,

$$\phi(z) := e^{-i(z_1 \hat{\mathbf{u}} + z_2 \hat{\mathbf{w}}) \cdot \mathbf{p}} \phi_0, \psi(z) := e^{-i(z_1 \hat{\mathbf{u}} + z_2 \hat{\mathbf{w}}) \cdot \mathbf{p}} \psi_0, \phi_0, \psi_0 \in C_0^\infty \left(B_\varepsilon^{\mathbb{R}^3}(x_0) \right),$$

and the function $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$F(z) := (V(x)\phi(z), \psi(z)).$$

F is continuous and

$$|F(z)| \leq C(1 + |z|)^{-\alpha}.$$

Moreover, since B is already known in Λ_{rec} , we uniquely reconstruct from (5.51) the Radon transforms,

$$\tilde{F}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}; z) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(z + \tau \hat{\mathbf{y}}) d\tau = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(x + \tau(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_1 \hat{\mathbf{u}} + \hat{\mathbf{y}}_2 \hat{\mathbf{w}})) d\tau \phi(z), \psi(z) \right),$$

where $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\hat{\mathbf{y}} := (\hat{\mathbf{y}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ has modulus one.

As above inverting these Radon transforms we uniquely reconstruct $F(z)$, and in particular $F(0) = (V\phi_0, \psi_0)$ what uniquely determines $V(x)$ for a.e. $x \in B_\varepsilon^{\mathbb{R}^3}(x_0)$. Since $x_0 \in \Lambda_{\text{rec}}$ is arbitrary, $V(x)$ is uniquely reconstructed for a.e. $x \in \Lambda_{\text{rec}}$.

REMARK 6.4. Let us summarize the reconstruction method given by Theorem 6.3. From the high-velocity limit (5.44) we uniquely reconstruct,

$$e^{i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau}, \quad (6.6)$$

and from this we uniquely reconstruct,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \times B(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau, x \in \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}, \quad (6.7)$$

what gives us the Radon transform

$$\tilde{F}_j(\hat{\mathbf{w}}; z) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_j(z + \tau \hat{\mathbf{w}}) d\tau = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{n}}_j \cdot B(x + \tau(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_1 \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j + \hat{\mathbf{w}}_2 \hat{\mathbf{v}}_j)) d\tau \phi_j(z), \psi_j(z) \right), \quad (6.8)$$

where $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\hat{\mathbf{w}} := (\hat{\mathbf{w}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{w}}_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ has modulus one.

Inverting this Radon transform we uniquely reconstruct $F_j(z)$ and in particular $F_j(0) = (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_j \cdot B\phi_0, \psi_0)$ and hence, we uniquely reconstruct $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_j \cdot B(x)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$ for a.e. $x \in B_\varepsilon^{\mathbb{R}^3}(x_0)$ and as the $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_j$ are linearly independent we uniquely reconstruct $B(x)$ for a.e. $x \in B_\varepsilon^{\mathbb{R}^3}(x_0)$. Since $x_0 \in \Lambda_{\text{rec}}$ is arbitrary we uniquely reconstruct $B(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \Lambda_{\text{rec}}$. Note that to reconstruct B almost everywhere in a neighborhood of a point x_0 we only need the high-velocity limit of the scattering operator a neighborhood of three two-dimensional planes. For the inversion of the Radon transform see Theorem 2.17 of [18] and [19], [27].

Remember that given any $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B)$ we can always find an $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi}(B)$ with the same scattering operator. We can take, for example, A_{Φ} . See equation (5.12). Then, there is no loss of generality taking $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi}(B)$. Note that

(6.6) is not a gauge invariant quantity. If $\tilde{A}, A \in \mathcal{A}_\Phi(B)$ and $\tilde{A} = A + d\lambda$, then,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \tilde{A}(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau + \lambda_\infty(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) - \lambda_\infty(-\hat{\mathbf{v}}).$$

We can, however, reconstruct (6.7) from the gauge invariant quantity,

$$\mathcal{R}(x, y) := e^{i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot [A(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) - A(y + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}})] d\tau}, x, y \in \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}.$$

We have that,

$$\frac{1}{i} \overline{\mathcal{R}(x, y)} \nabla_x \mathcal{R}(x, y) = \nabla_x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \times B(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau, x \in \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}.$$

We now uniquely reconstruct V . Since B is already known in Λ_{rec} , for any $\hat{\mathbf{v}} \in p(\hat{\mathbf{u}}, \hat{\mathbf{w}})$ we uniquely reconstruct from (5.51) the Radon transforms,

$$\tilde{F}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}; z) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(z + \tau \hat{\mathbf{y}}) d\tau = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(x + \tau(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_1 \hat{\mathbf{u}} + \hat{\mathbf{y}}_2 \hat{\mathbf{w}})) d\tau \phi(z), \psi(z) \right),$$

where $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\hat{\mathbf{y}} := (\hat{\mathbf{y}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ has modulus one.

As above inverting these Radon transforms we uniquely reconstruct $F(z)$, and in particular $F(0) = (V\phi_0, \psi_0)$ what uniquely determines $V(x)$ for a.e. $x \in B_\varepsilon^{\mathbb{R}^3}(x_0)$. Since $x_0 \in \Lambda_{\text{rec}}$ is arbitrary, $V(x)$ is uniquely reconstructed for a.e. $x \in \Lambda_{\text{rec}}$.

7 The Aharonov-Bohm Effect

In this section we assume that $B \equiv 0$, i.e., that there is no magnetic field in Λ . On the contrary, the electric potential, V , is not assumed to be zero. In other words, we will analyze the Aharonov-Bohm effect in the presence of an electric potential. As we will show, for high-velocities the electric potential gives a lower-order contribution that plays no role in the Aharonov-Bohm effect. However, it could be of interest to allow for a non-trivial electric potential from the experimental point of view.

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and any unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathbb{S}^2$ we denote

$$L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) := x + \mathbb{R}\hat{\mathbf{v}},$$

and we give to $L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ the orientation of $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$. Suppose that $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \hat{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathbb{S}^2$ satisfy $\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{w}} \geq 0$ and that

$$L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \cup L(y, \hat{\mathbf{w}}) \subset \Lambda.$$

Take $\rho > 0$ so large that

$$\text{convex}((x + (-\infty, -\rho]\hat{\mathbf{v}}) \cup (y + (-\infty, -\rho]\hat{\mathbf{w}})) \cup \text{convex}((x + [\rho, \infty)\hat{\mathbf{v}}) \cup (y + [\rho, \infty)\hat{\mathbf{w}})) \subset B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0)^c,$$

where $K \subset B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0)$, $B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0)^c$ is the complement of $B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0)$ and the symbol $\text{convex}(\cdot)$ denotes the convex hull of the indicated set.

We denote by $\gamma(x, y, \hat{\mathbf{v}}, \hat{\mathbf{w}})$ the continuous, simple, oriented and closed curve with sides, $x + [-\rho, \rho]\hat{\mathbf{v}}$, oriented in the direction of $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$, $y + [-\rho, \rho]\hat{\mathbf{w}}$, oriented in the direction of $-\hat{\mathbf{w}}$ and the oriented straight lines that join the points $x + \rho\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ with $y + \rho\hat{\mathbf{w}}$ and $y - \rho\hat{\mathbf{w}}$ and $x - \rho\hat{\mathbf{v}}$.

Suppose that A is short-range (see Definition 5.4). For example, we can take $A = A_C$. We denote $x_{\perp, \hat{\mathbf{v}}} := x - (x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})\hat{\mathbf{v}}$. It follows from Stoke's theorem that if $|x_{\perp, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}| \geq r$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(x + \tau\hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(x_{\perp} + \tau\hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(sx_{\perp} + \tau\hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau = 0. \quad (7.1)$$

By Stoke's theorem and arguing as in the proof of (7.1) we prove that for short-range A

$$\int_{\gamma(x, y, \hat{\mathbf{v}}, \hat{\mathbf{w}})} A = \int_{L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})} A - \int_{L(y, \hat{\mathbf{w}})} A. \quad (7.2)$$

Take any $z \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that, $|(x+z)_{\perp, \hat{\mathbf{v}}}| \geq r, |(y+z)_{\perp, \hat{\mathbf{w}}}| \geq r$. By Stoke's theorem and (7.1),

$$\int_{L(x+z, \hat{\mathbf{v}})} A = \int_{L(y+z, \hat{\mathbf{w}})} A = 0.$$

Then, adding zero we write (7.2) as

$$\int_{\gamma(x, y, \hat{\mathbf{v}}, \hat{\mathbf{w}})} A = \left(\int_{L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})} A - \int_{L(x+z, \hat{\mathbf{v}})} A \right) - \left(\int_{L(y, \hat{\mathbf{w}})} A - \int_{L(y+z, \hat{\mathbf{w}})} A \right). \quad (7.3)$$

The point is that the left- and right- hand sides of (7.3) are gauge invariant, and in consequence (7.3) holds for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(0)$.

It follows that from the high-velocity limit (5.44) we can reconstruct $\int_{\gamma(x, y, \hat{\mathbf{v}}, \hat{\mathbf{w}})} A$, modulo 2π . We have proven the following theorem.

THEOREM 7.1. *Suppose that $B \equiv 0$ and that V satisfies Assumption 5.1. Then, for any flux, Φ , and all $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(0)$, the high-velocity limits of $S(A, V)$ in (5.44) known for $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$ determines the fluxes*

$$\int_{\gamma(x, y, \hat{\mathbf{v}}, \hat{\mathbf{w}})} A \quad (7.4)$$

modulo 2π , for all curves $\gamma(x, y, \hat{\mathbf{v}}, \hat{\mathbf{w}})$.

REMARK 7.2. Theorem 7.1 implies that from the high-velocity limit (5.44) for $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$ we can reconstruct the fluxes

$$\int_{\alpha} A$$

for any closed curve α such that there is a surface (or chain) $\mathcal{S}$ in Λ with $\partial\mathcal{S} = \alpha - \gamma(x, y, \hat{\mathbf{v}}, \hat{\mathbf{w}})$, because by Stoke's theorem,

$$\int_{\alpha} A = \int_{\gamma(x,y,\hat{\mathbf{v}},\hat{\mathbf{w}})} A + \int_S B = \int_{\gamma(x,y,\hat{\mathbf{v}},\hat{\mathbf{w}})} A.$$

Remember also that given any $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi,2\pi}(B)$ we can always find an $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi}(B)$ with the same scattering operator. We can take, for example, A_{Φ} . See equation (5.12). Then, there is no loss of generality taking $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi}(0)$. Furthermore, notice that we can at most reconstruct the fluxes modulo 2π because by (5.12) $S(A_{\Phi}, V) = S(A, V)$ and the fluxes of A_{Φ} and A in differ by integer multiples of 2π . For general $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi,2\pi}(0)$ we recuperate the fluxes from equation (7.3). However if A is short-range we can use the simpler formula (7.2).

REMARK 7.3. As $\gamma(x, y, \hat{\mathbf{v}}, \hat{\mathbf{w}})$ is a cycle, the homology class $[\gamma(x, y, \hat{\mathbf{v}}, \hat{\mathbf{w}})]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})}$ is well defined.

We denote,

$$H_{1,\text{rec}}(\Lambda; \mathbb{R}) := \left\langle \left\{ [\gamma(x, y, \hat{\mathbf{v}}, \hat{\mathbf{w}})]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})} : L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \cup L(x, \hat{\mathbf{w}}) \subset \Lambda \right\} \right\rangle. \quad (7.5)$$

$H_{1,\text{rec}}(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})$ is a vector subspace of $H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})$. Let us denote by $H_{\text{de R}, \text{rec}}^1(\Lambda)$ the vector subspace of $H_{\text{de R}}^1(\Lambda)$ that is the dual to $H_{1,\text{rec}}(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})$, given by de Rham's Theorem. Then, for all Φ and all $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi,2\pi}(0)$, from the high-velocity limit (5.44) known for all $\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \hat{\mathbf{w}}$ we reconstruct the projection of A into $H_{\text{de R}, \text{rec}}^1(\Lambda)$ modulo 2π , as we now show. Let

$$\left\{ [\sigma_j]_{H_{1,\text{rec}}(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})} \right\}_{j=1}^m,$$

be a basis of $H_{1,\text{rec}}(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})$, and let

$$\left\{ [A_j]_{H_{\text{de R}, \text{rec}}^1(\Lambda)} \right\}_{j=1}^m,$$

be the dual basis, i.e.,

$$\int_{\sigma_j} A_k = \delta_{j,k}, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Let us denote by P_{rec} the projector onto $H_{\text{de R}, \text{rec}}^1(\Lambda)$. Hence, for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi,2\pi}(B)$

$$P_{\text{rec}}[A]_{H_{\text{de R}}^1(\Lambda)} = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j [A_j]_{H_{\text{de R}, \text{rec}}^1(\Lambda)},$$

and, furthermore, as

$$\lambda_j = \int_{\sigma_j} A,$$

we reconstruct $\lambda_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ (modulo 2π) from the high-velocity limit (5.44) known for all $\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \hat{\mathbf{w}}$.

□

We now give a precise definition of when a line $L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ goes through a hole of K . Take $r > 0$ such that $K \subset B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0)$. Suppose that $L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \subset \Lambda$, and $L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \cap B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0) \neq \emptyset$. we denote by $c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ the curve consisting of the segment

$L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \cap \overline{B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0)}$ and an arc on $\partial \overline{B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0)}$ that connects the points $L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \cap \partial \overline{B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0)}$. We orient $c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ in such a way that the segment of straight line has the orientation of $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$.

DEFINITION 7.4. *A line $L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \subset \Lambda$ goes through a hole of K if $L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \cap B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0) \neq \emptyset$ and $[c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})} \neq 0$. Otherwise we say that $L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ does not go through a hole of K .*

Note that this characterization of lines that go or do not go through a hole of K is independent of the r that was used in the definition. This follows from the homotopic invariance of homology. See Theorem 11.2, page 59 of [16].

In an intuitive sense $[c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})} = 0$ means that $c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ is the boundary of a surface (actually of a chain) that is contained in Λ and then it can not go through a hole of K . Obviously, as $K \subset B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0)$, if $L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \cap B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0) = \emptyset$ the line $L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ can not go through a hole of K .

DEFINITION 7.5. *Two lines $L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}}), L(y, \hat{\mathbf{w}}) \subset \Lambda$ that go through a hole of K go through the same hole if $[c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})} = \pm [c(y, \hat{\mathbf{w}})]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})}$. Furthermore, we say that the lines go through the hole in the same direction if $[c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})} = [c(y, \hat{\mathbf{w}})]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})}$.*

LEMMA 7.6. *Let $A, A_0 \in \mathcal{A}_\Phi(0)$ with A_0 short-range and let λ be such that $A_0 = A + d\lambda$. Assume that $L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ and $L(y, \hat{\mathbf{w}})$ go through the same hole of K . Then,*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau + \lambda_\infty(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) - \lambda_\infty(-\hat{\mathbf{v}}) = \pm \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{w}} \cdot A(y + \tau \hat{\mathbf{w}}) d\tau + \lambda_\infty(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) - \lambda_\infty(-\hat{\mathbf{w}}) \right), \quad (7.6)$$

if $[c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})} = \pm [c(y, \hat{\mathbf{w}})]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})}$.

Moreover,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau + \lambda_\infty(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) - \lambda_\infty(-\hat{\mathbf{v}}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A_0(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau = \int_{c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})} A_0 = \int_{c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})} A. \quad (7.7)$$

Proof: By (7.1) and Stoke's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau + \lambda_\infty(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) - \lambda_\infty(-\hat{\mathbf{v}}) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A_0(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau = \int_{c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})} A_0 = \\ &= \pm \int_{c(y, \hat{\mathbf{w}})} A_0 = \pm \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{w}} \cdot A(y + \tau \hat{\mathbf{w}}) d\tau + \lambda_\infty(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) - \lambda_\infty(-\hat{\mathbf{w}}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 7.7. *Let $A, A_0 \in \mathcal{A}_\Phi(0)$ with A_0 short-range and let λ be such that $A_0 = A + d\lambda$. Assume that $L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ does not go through a hole of K . Then,*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau + \lambda_\infty(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) - \lambda_\infty(-\hat{\mathbf{v}}) = 0. \quad (7.8)$$

Proof: If $L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \cap B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0) = \emptyset$ it follows from (7.1) and Stoke's theorem that (7.8) holds. Otherwise, $[c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})} = 0$, and then, by Stoke's theorem,

$$\int_{c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})} A = 0.$$

Take $z \in \overline{\partial B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0)} \cap c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ such that $L(z, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ is tangent to $\overline{\partial B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0)}$. By the argument above,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(z + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau + \lambda_{\infty}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) - \lambda_{\infty}(-\hat{\mathbf{v}}) = 0.$$

Finally, using once more Stoke's theorem we obtain that,

$$0 = \int_{c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})} A = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(x + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot A(z + \tau \hat{\mathbf{v}}) d\tau,$$

and then, (7.8) is proven.

REMARK 7.8. If $(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \in \Lambda \times \mathbb{S}^2$, there are neighborhoods $B_x \subset \mathbb{R}^3, B_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ such that $(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \in B_x \times B_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$ and if $(y, \hat{\mathbf{w}}) \in B_x \times B_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$ then, the following is true: if $L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ does not go through a hole of K , then, also $L(y, \hat{\mathbf{w}})$ does not go through a hole of K . If $L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ goes through a hole of K , then, $L(y, \hat{\mathbf{w}})$ goes through the same hole and in the same direction. This follows from the homotopic invariance of homology, Theorem 11.2, page 59 of [16].

DEFINITION 7.9. For any $\hat{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathbb{S}^2$ we denote by $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \text{out}}$ the set of points $x \in \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$ such that $L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ does not go through a hole of K . We call this set the region without holes of $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$. The holes of $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$ is the set $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \text{in}} := \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \setminus \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \text{out}}$.

We define the following equivalence relation on $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \text{in}}$. We say that $x R_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} y$ if and only if

$L(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ and $L(y, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ go through the same hole and in the same direction. By $[x]$ we designate the classes of equivalence under $R_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$.

We denote by $\{\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, h}\}_{h \in \mathcal{I}}$ the partition of $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \text{in}}$ given by this equivalence relation. It is defined as follows.

$$\mathcal{I} := \{[x]\}_{x \in \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \text{in}}}.$$

Given $h \in \mathcal{I}$ there is $x \in \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \text{in}}$ such that $h = [x]$. We denote,

$$\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, h} := \{y \in \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \text{in}} : y R_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} x\}.$$

Then,

$$\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \text{in}} = \cup_{h \in \mathcal{I}} \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, h}, \quad \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, h_1} \cap \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, h_2} = \emptyset, \quad h_1 \neq h_2.$$

We call $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, h}$ the hole h of K in the direction of $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$. Note that

$$\{\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, h}\}_{h \in \mathcal{I}} \cup \{\Lambda_{\mathbf{v}, \text{out}}\} \tag{7.9}$$

is an open disjoint cover of $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$.

DEFINITION 7.10. For any $\Phi, A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi, 2\pi}(B)$, $\hat{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathbb{S}^2$, and $h \in \mathcal{I}$ we define,

$$F_h := \int_{c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})} A,$$

where x is any point in $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, h}$. Note that F_h is independent the $x \in \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, h}$ that we choose. F_h is the flux of the magnetic field over any surface (or chain) in $\mathbb{R}^3$ whose boundary is $c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$. We call F_h the magnetic flux on the hole h of K .

Let us take $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with compact support in $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$. Then, since (7.9) is a disjoint open cover of $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$,

$$\phi_0 = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{I}} \varphi_h + \varphi_{\text{out}}, \quad (7.10)$$

with $\varphi_h, \varphi_{\text{out}} \in \mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, φ_h has compact support in $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}},h}$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$, and φ_{out} has compact support in $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}},\text{out}}$. The sum is finite because ϕ has compact support. We denote,

$$\phi_{\mathbf{v}} := e^{im\mathbf{v} \cdot x} \phi_0, \varphi_{h,\mathbf{v}} := e^{im\mathbf{v} \cdot x} \varphi_h, \varphi_{\text{out},\mathbf{v}} := e^{im\mathbf{v} \cdot x} \varphi_{\text{out}}.$$

THEOREM 7.11. *Suppose that $B \equiv 0$ and that V satisfies Assumption 5.1. Then, for any Φ and any $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi}(0)$,*

$$S(A, V) \phi_{\mathbf{v}} = e^{-i(\lambda_{\infty}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) - \lambda_{\infty}(-\hat{\mathbf{v}}))} \left(\sum_{h \in \mathcal{I}} e^{iF_h} \varphi_{\mathbf{v},h} + \varphi_{\text{out},\mathbf{v}} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{v}\right). \quad (7.11)$$

Proof: The theorem follows from Theorem 5.7 and Lemmas 7.6, 7.7.

COROLLARY 7.12. *Under the conditions of Theorem 7.11*

$$(S(A, V) \phi_{\mathbf{v}}, \varphi_{\mathbf{v},h}) = e^{-i(\lambda_{\infty}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) - \lambda_{\infty}(-\hat{\mathbf{v}}))} e^{iF_h} + O\left(\frac{1}{v}\right), \quad h \in \mathcal{I}, \quad (7.12)$$

$$(S(A, V) \phi_{\mathbf{v}}, \varphi_{\mathbf{v},\text{out}}) = e^{-i(\lambda_{\infty}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) - \lambda_{\infty}(-\hat{\mathbf{v}}))} + O\left(\frac{1}{v}\right). \quad (7.13)$$

Moreover, the high-velocity limit of $S(A, V)$ in the direction $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ determines $\lambda_{\infty}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) - \lambda_{\infty}(-\hat{\mathbf{v}})$ and the fluxes $F_h, h \in \mathcal{I}$, modulo 2π .

Proof: The corollary follows immediately from Theorem 7.11.

REMARK 7.13. Equations (7.12, 7.13) are reconstruction formulae that allows us to reconstruct $\lambda_{\infty}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) - \lambda_{\infty}(-\hat{\mathbf{v}})$ and the fluxes $F_h, h \in \mathcal{I}$, modulo 2π , from the high-velocity limit of the scattering operator in the direction $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$. Recall that $\lambda_{\infty}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) - \lambda_{\infty}(-\hat{\mathbf{v}})$ is independent of the particular short-range potential that we use to define λ . Remember also that given any $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi,2\pi}(B)$ we can always find an $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi}(B)$ with the same scattering operator. We can take, for example, A_{Φ} . See equation (5.12). Then, there is no loss of generality taking $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi}(0)$.

Note that it is quite remarkable that we can determine $\lambda_{\infty}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) - \lambda_{\infty}(-\hat{\mathbf{v}})$ since it is not a gauge invariant quantity. According to the standard interpretation of quantum mechanics only gauge invariant quantities are physically relevant. Note that if A is short-range λ_{∞} is constant. In this case $\lambda_{\infty}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) - \lambda_{\infty}(-\hat{\mathbf{v}}) \equiv 0$ and it drops out from all our formulae. We see that one possibility is to consider that only short-range potentials are physically admissible. This is consistent with the usual interpretation of quantum mechanics in three dimensions. However, we can also go beyond the standard interpretation of quantum mechanics and consider the class of long-range potentials $\mathcal{A}_{\Phi}(B)$ as physically admissible. This raises the interesting question of what is the physical significance of the $\lambda_{\infty}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) - \lambda_{\infty}(-\hat{\mathbf{v}})$.

EXAMPLE 7.14. Here we consider a simple example where we give an explicit description of the holes. Furthermore, the fluxes of the holes are the fluxes of the magnetic field over cross sections of the tori. We reconstruct all the fluxes modulo 2π and also we determine the cohomology class of the magnetic potential modulo 2π , from the high-velocity limit of the scattering operator in only one direction.

Given a vector $z \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $a > b > 0$ we denote by $T(z, a, b)$ the following set

$$T(z, a, b) := \left\{ z + a(\cos \theta, \sin \theta, 0) + b(x(\cos \theta, \sin \theta, 0) + y(0, 0, 1)) : \theta \in [0, 2\pi], (x, y) \in \overline{B_1^{\mathbb{R}^2}(0)} \right\}.$$

The map $F_{z,a,b} : T \rightarrow T(z, a, b)$ given by

$$F_{z,a,b}((\cos \theta, \sin \theta), (x, y)) \rightarrow z + a(\cos \theta, \sin \theta, 0) + b(x(\cos \theta, \sin \theta, 0) + y(0, 0, 1))$$

is a diffeomorphism.

The Obstacle

We now define the obstacle K . We assume that $\mathbf{v} = (0, 0, 1)$.

As before the connected components of K are $K_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, L$. Let us denote $J = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $I = \{m+1, \dots, L\}$. If $m = L$, then, $I = \emptyset$. We assume that K satisfy the following assumptions.

1. There are vectors $z_j \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and numbers $a_j > b_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ such that,

$$K_j = T(z_j, a_j, b_j), \forall j \in J, \quad K_j \cong \overline{B_1^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0)}, j \in I.$$

- 2.

$$(\text{convex}(K_j) + \mathbb{R}\mathbf{v}) \cap (\text{convex}(K_l) + \mathbb{R}\mathbf{v}) = \emptyset, j, l \in J, \quad (\text{convex}(K_j) + \mathbb{R}\mathbf{v}) \cap (K_l + \mathbb{R}\mathbf{v}) = \emptyset, j \in J, l \in I.$$

We denote as before by $\text{convex}(\cdot)$ the convex hull of the indicated set.

The Curves $\gamma_j, \tilde{\gamma}_j, \hat{\gamma}_j$

Let θ_j be such that $z_j = r_j(\cos(\theta_j), \sin(\theta_j), 0) + (0, 0, (z_j)_3)$.

The curves $\gamma_j, j \in J$ are given by

$$\gamma_j(t) := z_j + a_j(\cos t, \sin t, 0),$$

and the curves $\tilde{\gamma}_j, j \in J$, are

$$\tilde{\gamma}_j := z_j + a_j(\cos \theta_j, \sin \theta_j, 0) + b_j(\cos t(\cos \theta_j, \sin \theta_j, 0) + \sin t(0, 0, 1)).$$

Furthermore, the curves $\hat{\gamma}_j, j \in J$, are

$$\hat{\gamma}_j := z_j + a_j(\cos \theta_j, \sin \theta_j, 0) + (b_j + \delta/2)(\cos t(\cos \theta_j, \sin \theta_j, 0) + \sin t(0, 0, 1)),$$

where $\delta > 0$ so small that, $\delta < a_j - b_j$, and

$$(\text{convex}(K_{j,\delta}) + \mathbb{R}\mathbf{v}) \cap (\text{convex}(K_{l,\delta}) + \mathbb{R}\mathbf{v}) = \emptyset, j, l \in J, ((\text{convex}(K_{j,\delta}) + \mathbb{R}\mathbf{v}) \cap (K_{l,\delta} + \mathbb{R}\mathbf{v}) = \emptyset, j \in J, l \in I.$$

The subindex δ denotes the set of points that are at distance up to δ of the indicated set.

The Flux Φ

We define the following sets

$$h_j := \{z_j + t(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) : \theta \in [0, 2\pi], t \in [0, a_j - b_j]\} + \mathbb{R}\hat{\mathbf{v}}, j \in J.$$

We have that,

$$[c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})} = [c(y, \hat{\mathbf{v}})]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})}, \forall x, y \in h_j, j \in J. \quad (7.14)$$

Since $c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ and $c(y, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ are homotopic in Λ , this follows from the homotopic invariance of homology. See Theorem 11.2, page 59 of [16]. Then, we can associate a flux Φ_j to each $h_j, j \in J$ as follows,

$$\Phi_j = \int_{c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})} A, \text{ for some } x \in h_j, j \in J.$$

We have that,

$$[c(y, \hat{\mathbf{v}})]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})} = 0, \forall y \in (\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \setminus (\cup_{j \in J} h_j)) \cap (B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0) + \mathbb{R}\hat{\mathbf{v}}). \quad (7.15)$$

Let us prove this. As the segment of straight line in $c(y, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ does not belong to any of the sets $\text{convex}(K_j) + \mathbb{R}\hat{\mathbf{v}}, j \in J$, we have that, for any $j \in J$ there is a surface (or a chain) σ_j contained in the complement of K_j such that $\partial \sigma_j = c(y, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$. Let $\left\{ [G^{(j)}]_{H_{\text{de R}}^1}(\Lambda) \right\}_{j=1}^m$ be the basis of $H_{\text{de R}}^1$ constructed in Proposition 2.3. Then, as $dG^{(j)} = 0$ it follows from Stoke's theorem that

$$\int_{c(y, \hat{\mathbf{v}})} G^{(j)} = 0, \forall j \in J.$$

Hence, (7.15) follows from de Rham's Theorem, Theorem 4.17, page 154 of [41].

Let us prove now that,

$$\Phi_j = \Phi(\hat{\gamma}_j), j \in J. \quad (7.16)$$

For any $j \in J$ we define,

$$x_j := z_j + a_j(\cos \theta_j, \sin \theta_j, 0) - (b_j + \delta/2)(\cos \theta_j, \sin \theta_j, 0),$$

$$y_j := z_j + a_j(\cos \theta_j, \sin \theta_j, 0) + (b_j + \delta/2)(\cos \theta_j, \sin \theta_j, 0).$$

We choose the curves $c(x_j, \hat{\mathbf{v}}), c(y_j, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ in such a way that the arc in $c(y_j, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ is contained in the arc in $c(x_j, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$. Let c_j be the curve obtained by taking the segments of straight line in $c(x_j, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ and in $c(y_j, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ and the two arcs that are

obtained by cutting from the arc in $c(x_j, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ the arc in $c(y_j, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$. We orient c_j in such a way that the segment of straight line in $c(x_j, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ has the orientation of $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$. Then, in homology,

$$[c_j]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})} = [c(x_j, \hat{\mathbf{v}})]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})} - [c(y_j, \hat{\mathbf{v}})]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})}. \quad (7.17)$$

This follows from de Rham's Theorem -Theorem 4.17, page 154 of [41]- since for any closed 1-form D ,

$$\int_{c_j} D = \int_{c(x_j, \hat{\mathbf{v}})} D - \int_{c(y_j, \hat{\mathbf{v}})} D.$$

The curves $\hat{\gamma}_j$ and c_j are homotopically equivalent in Λ . Hence, by the homotopical invariance of homology, Theorem 11.2, page 59 of [16],

$$[c_j]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})} = [\hat{\gamma}_j]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})}. \quad (7.18)$$

Then, by (7.15, 7.17),

$$[\hat{\gamma}_j]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})} = [c(x_j, \hat{\mathbf{v}})]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})}, \quad (7.19)$$

and hence,

$$\int_{c(x_j, \hat{\mathbf{v}})} A = \int_{\hat{\gamma}_j} A, j \in J,$$

what proves (7.16).

The Holes of K

Recall that $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \text{out}}$ and $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \text{in}}$ were defined in Definition 7.9, that the holes of K are the sets $\Lambda_{\mathbf{v}, h}, h \in \mathcal{I}$, that F_h is the flux over the hole $\Lambda_{\mathbf{v}, h}, h \in \mathcal{I}$, that $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \text{in}} = \cup_{h \in \mathcal{I}} \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, h}$.

Then, we have that,

1. The index set $\mathcal{I}$ can be taken as $\mathcal{I} = \{h_j\}_{j \in J} \sim J$. Moreover, denoting $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, j} = \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, h_j}$, we have that $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, j} = h_j$ and $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \text{in}} = \cup_{j \in J} h_j$.
2. We designate, $F_j := F_{h_j}$. Then,

$$F_j = \Phi(\hat{\gamma}_j), j \in J.$$

3.

$$\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \text{out}} = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \left(\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \text{in}} \cup_{j=1}^L (K_j + \hat{\mathbf{v}}\mathbb{R}) \right).$$

Let us prove this. By (7.15) $[c(y, \hat{\mathbf{v}})]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{R})} = 0, \forall y \in (\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \setminus \cup_{j \in J} h_j)$ such that $B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0) \cap L(y, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \neq \emptyset$. Then,

$$(\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \setminus \cup_{j \in J} h_j) \cap \left(B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0) + \mathbb{R}\hat{\mathbf{v}} \right) \subset \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \text{out}}.$$

But by our definition the complement in $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$ of $B_r^{\mathbb{R}^3}(0) + \mathbb{R}\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ is contained in $\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}},\text{out}}$. It follows that,

$$(\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \setminus \cup_{j \in J} h_j) \subset \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}},\text{out}}.$$

Moreover, if $x \in h_j$ for some $j \in J$, since $[\hat{\gamma}_j]_{H_1(\Lambda;\mathbb{R})} \neq 0$, it follows from (7.14) and (7.19) that $[c(x_j, \hat{\mathbf{v}})]_{H_1(\Lambda;\mathbb{R})} = [c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})]_{H_1(\Lambda;\mathbb{R})} \neq 0$, and then, $x \notin \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}},\text{out}}$. Then, we have proven that,

$$(\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} \setminus \cup_{j \in J} h_j) = \Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}},\text{out}}, \quad (7.20)$$

and hence,

$$\Lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{v}},\text{in}} = \cup_{j \in J} h_j.$$

Item 3 is now obvious. By (7.14) if $x, y \in h_j$, then, $[c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})]_{H_1(\Lambda;\mathbb{R})} = [c(y, \hat{\mathbf{v}})]_{H_1(\Lambda;\mathbb{R})}$. Hence, $xR_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}y$ what implies that h_j is contained in some hole of K . But by (7.14) and (7.19) if $x \in h_j, y \in h_l, j \neq l$, then, $[c(x, \hat{\mathbf{v}})]_{H_1(\Lambda;\mathbb{R})} \neq [c(y, \hat{\mathbf{v}})]_{H_1(\Lambda;\mathbb{R})}$ because as the $[\hat{\gamma}_j]_{H_1(\Lambda;\mathbb{R})}, j \in J$ are a basis of $H_1(\Lambda;\mathbb{R})$ they are different. In consequence, x and y belong to different holes of K . Then, since (7.20) holds, we have proven item 1. Item 2 follows from (7.16).

By Corollary 7.12 and Remark 7.13, this proves that from the high-velocity limit of $S(A, V)$ in the direction of $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ we reconstruct all the fluxes $\Phi(\hat{\gamma}_j), j \in J$, modulo 2π .

Let us now prove that from the high-velocity limit of $S(A, V)$ in the direction of $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ we also reconstruct the cohomology class $[A]_{H_{\text{de R}}^1(\Lambda)}$ modulo 2π , in the sense that we reconstruct modulo 2π the coefficients of $[A]_{H_{\text{de R}}^1(\Lambda)}$ in any basis of $H_{\text{de R}}^1(\Lambda)$.

Let $\left\{ [M_j]_{H_{\text{de R}}^1(\Lambda)} \right\}_{j=1}^m$ be any basis of $H_{\text{de R}}^1(\Lambda)$ and let $\{[\Gamma_j]_{H_1(\Lambda;\mathbb{R})}\}_{j=1}^m$ be the dual basis of $H_1(\Lambda;\mathbb{R})$ given by de Rham's Theorem,

$$\int_{\Gamma_j} M_l = \delta_{j,l}, j, l \in J.$$

Let $\{\alpha_j\}_{j \in J}$ be the expansion coefficients of A ,

$$[A]_{H_{\text{de R}}^1(\Lambda)} = \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j [M_j]_{H_{\text{de R}}^1(\Lambda)},$$

$$\alpha_j = \int_{\Gamma_j} A.$$

By Proposition 10.1 $\{[\hat{\gamma}_j]_{H_1(\Lambda;\mathbb{Z})}\}_{j=1}^m$ is a basis of $H_1(\Lambda;\mathbb{Z})$. Then,

$$[\Gamma_j]_{H_1(\Lambda;\mathbb{Z})} = \sum_{l \in J} n(j, l) [\hat{\gamma}_l]_{H_1(\Lambda;\mathbb{Z})},$$

where the coefficients $n(j, l)$ are integers. Finally,

$$\alpha_j = \int_{\Gamma_j} A = \sum_{l \in L} n(j, l) \int_{\hat{\gamma}_l} A = \sum_{l \in L} n(j, l) \Phi(\hat{\gamma}_l), j \in J,$$

and since we have already determined the $\Phi(\hat{\gamma}_l)$ modulo 2π , the coefficients $\alpha_j, j \in J$ are determined modulo 2π .

8 The Tonomura et al. Experiments

The fundamental experiments of Tonomura et al. [37, 38], gave a conclusive evidence of the existence of the Aharonov-Bohm effect. For a detailed account see [30].

Tonomura et al. [37, 38] did their experiments in the case of toroidal magnets. This corresponds to our Example 7.14 with only one torus, i.e., $L = 1, J = \{1\}$. In very careful and precise experiments they managed to superimpose behind the toroidal magnet two electron beams. One of them traveled inside the hole of the toroidal magnet and the other -the reference beam- outside it. They measured the interference fringes between the two beams produced by the magnetic flux inside the torus.

We show now that our results give a rigorous mathematical proof that quantum mechanics predicts the interference fringes observed by Tonomura et al. [37, 38] in their remarkable experiment.

An equivalently description of these experiments is to consider that both electron beams traveled inside the hole of the torus, one of them with a nonzero magnetic flux inside the torus, and the other -the reference beam- with the magnetic flux inside the torus set to zero. Since long-range magnetic potentials add a global constant phase that does not affect the interference pattern, we take, for simplicity, a short-range magnetic potential. According to Theorem 7.11, for the particle that goes inside the hole with the magnetic flux present, up to an error of order $1/v$, we have that,

$$S(A, V)\phi_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} = e^{i\frac{q}{\hbar c}\Phi} \phi_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}, \quad (8.1)$$

where we have taken physical units, with Φ the flux of the physical magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ and $\phi_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} = e^{i\frac{q}{\hbar}\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \phi_0$. See Section 4. For the particle that goes outside the hole of the magnet, or equivalently inside the hole with the magnetic field set to zero,

$$S(A, V)\phi_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} = \phi_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}. \quad (8.2)$$

If we superimpose both asymptotic states we obtain the wave function,

$$\left(1 + e^{i\frac{q}{\hbar c}\Phi}\right) \phi_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}, \quad (8.3)$$

up to an error of order $1/v$. This shows the interference patterns that were observed experimentally by Tonomura et al. [37, 38]. For example, if $\frac{q}{hc}\Phi$ is an odd multiple of π there is destructive interference and there is a dark zone behind the hole of the magnet, as observed experimentally.

Tonomura et al. [37, 38] also considered the case when the reference beam is slightly tilted. In this case the reference beam is given by

$$\phi_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}+\mathbf{v}_0} = e^{i\frac{M}{h}\mathbf{v}_0 \cdot x} \phi_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}},$$

and (8.2) is replaced by,

$$S(A, V)\phi_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}+\mathbf{v}_0} = \phi_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}+\mathbf{v}_0} = e^{i\frac{M}{h}\mathbf{v}_0 \cdot x} \phi_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}.$$

In this case we obtain the wave function

$$e^{i\frac{M}{h}\mathbf{v}_0 \cdot x} \left(1 + e^{-i\frac{M}{h}\mathbf{v}_0 \cdot x} e^{i\frac{q}{hc}} \right) \phi_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}},$$

up to an error of order $1/v$. We see that the factor,

$$\left(1 + e^{-i\frac{M}{h}\mathbf{v}_0 \cdot x} e^{i\frac{q}{hc}} \right)$$

produces the parallel fringes that were observed experimentally by Tonomura et al. [37, 38].

9 Appendix A

In this appendix we prove, for the reader's convenience, that $H_s(\natural kT; \mathcal{R}) = 0, s \geq 2$, that $H_1(\natural kT; \mathcal{R}) \cong \oplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{R}$, and that $\{[Z_j]_{H_1(\natural kT; \mathcal{R})}\}_{j=1}^k$ is a basis of $H_1(\natural kT; \mathcal{R})$. $\mathcal{R}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{R}$.

Recall that we defined, $\gamma_{\pm} : [0, 1] \rightarrow T : \gamma_{\pm}(t) = (e^{\pm 2\pi i t}, 0, 0)$.

PROPOSITION 9.1. $H_s(T; \mathcal{R}) = 0, s \geq 2$ and $H_1(T; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{[\gamma_{\pm}]_{H_1(T; \mathbb{Z})}\}$ are basis of $H_1(T; \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof: We define $\tilde{\gamma}_{\pm} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 : \tilde{\gamma}_{\pm}(t) := e^{\pm 2\pi i t}$ and let $I_{\mathbb{S}^1} : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow T$ be the inclusion given by $I_{\mathbb{S}^1}(s) := (s, 0, 0)$. Clearly, $I_{\mathbb{S}^1} \circ \tilde{\gamma}_{\pm} = \gamma_{\pm}$. It is easy to see that $\mathbb{S}^1$ is homotopically equivalent to T and that the inclusion $I_{\mathbb{S}^1} : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow T$ is a homotopic equivalence. It follows that $I_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ induces an isomorphism in homology given by $H_s(I_{\mathbb{S}^1})$ (see theorem 11.3, page 59 [16]). Then, $H_s(T; \mathcal{R}) \cong H_s(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathcal{R})$ and hence, we have that $H_s(T; \mathcal{R}) = 0, s \geq 2$ by Corollary 15.5, page 84 of [16]. For $s = 1$ and $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}$, the isomorphism is given in the following way (see page 49 [16]). Let $\sigma_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow T$ be continuous functions and let $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. let us assume that $\sum n_i \sigma_i$ is a cycle (its boundary is zero). Then, $H_1(I_{\mathbb{S}^1})[\sum n_i \sigma_i]_{H_1(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{Z})} := [\sum n_i I_{\mathbb{S}^1} \circ \sigma_i]_{H_1(T; \mathbb{Z})}$.

As $I_{\mathbb{S}^1} \circ \tilde{\gamma}_{\pm} = \gamma_{\pm}$, it follows that $H_1(I_{\mathbb{S}^1})[\tilde{\gamma}_{\pm}]_{H_1(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{Z})} = [\gamma_{\pm}]_{H_1(T; \mathbb{Z})}$. Then, to prove the Proposition it is enough to prove that $H_1(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and that $\{[\tilde{\gamma}_{\pm}]_{H_1(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{Z})}\}$ are basis of $H_1(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{Z})$.

By Theorem 12.1, page 63 of [16], there is a homomorphism $\Xi : \Pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1; 1) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{Z})$ that sends a homotopy class to its homology class. In our case Ξ is an isomorphism since $\Pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1; 1)$ is abelian. Actually, $\Pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1; 1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. See

Theorem 4.4, page 17 of [16]. Then, $\mathbb{Z} \cong \Pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1; 1) \cong H_1(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{Z})$. To prove that $\{[\tilde{\gamma}_\pm]_{H_1(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{Z})}\}$ are basis of $H_1(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{Z})$ it is enough to prove that $\{[\tilde{\gamma}_\pm]_{\Pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1; 1)}\}$ are basis of $\Pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1; 1)$. The isomorphism $\Lambda : \Pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is given (see Theorem 4.4, page 17 of [16]) as follows. Given a path σ with $[\sigma]_{\Pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1; 1)} \in \Pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1; 1)$ let $\sigma' : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $\sigma'(0) = 0$ and $e^{2\pi i \sigma'(t)} = \sigma(t)$. Then, $\Lambda[\sigma]_{\Pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1; 1)} = \sigma'(1)$. In our case, if we take $\tilde{\gamma}'_\pm(t) := \pm t$, $\tilde{\gamma}'_\pm(0) = 0$ and $\tilde{\gamma}'_\pm(1) = \pm 1$. It follows that, $\Lambda[\tilde{\gamma}_\pm]_{\Pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1; 1)} = \pm 1$. As ± 1 are basis of $\mathbb{Z}$ it follows that $[\tilde{\gamma}_\pm]_{\Pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1; 1)}$ are basis of $\Pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1; 1)$ and this concludes the proof that $[\gamma_\pm]_{H_1(T; \mathbb{Z})}$ are basis of $H_1(T; \mathbb{Z})$.

PROPOSITION 9.2. *For $s \geq 2$, $H_s(\natural k T; \mathcal{R}) = 0$. Furthermore, $H_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \oplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}$, and $\{[Z_j]_{H_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{Z})}\}_{j=1}^k$ is a basis of $H_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof: We prove the Proposition by induction in k . For $k = 1$, $Z_1 = \gamma_+$ and the result follows from Proposition 9.1. Let us assume that $H_s(\natural(k-1)T; \mathcal{R}) \cong \oplus_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{R}$ and that $\{[Z_j]_{H_1(\natural(k-1)T; \mathbb{Z})}\}_{j=1}^{k-1}$ is a basis of $H_1(\natural(k-1)T; \mathbb{Z})$. Let X_1 and X_2 be open subsets of $\natural k T$ such that

$$\cup_{j \leq k-1} l_j(T) \subseteq X_1, \quad l_k(T) \subseteq X_2, \quad X_1 \simeq \cup_{j \leq k-1} l_j(T) \approx \natural(k-1)T, \quad X_2 \simeq l_k(T) \approx T,$$

and $X_1 \cap X_2$ is contractible, i.e. $X_1 \cap X_2 \simeq$ to a single point. The symbol $\simeq$ means homotopic equivalence and $\approx$ means homeomorphism.

By Example 17.1, page 98 of [16] $(\natural k T, X_1, X_2)$ is an exact triad and we can apply the sequence of Mayer-Vietoris (17.7 page 99 and 17.9 page 100 of [16]).

$$H_s(X_1 \cap X_2; \mathcal{R}) \rightarrow H_s(X_1; \mathcal{R}) \oplus H_s(X_2; \mathcal{R}) \rightarrow H_s(\natural k T; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{s-1}^\#(X_1 \cap X_2; \mathcal{R}).$$

As $X_1 \cap X_2$ is homotopically equivalent to a point -that we denote by $\{*\}$ - we have that $H_s(X_1 \cap X_2; \mathcal{R}) \cong H_s(\{*\}; \mathcal{R}) = 0$, $H_{s-1}^\#(X_1 \cap X_2; \mathcal{R}) \cong H_{s-1}^\#(\{*\}; \mathcal{R}) = 0$ (see Theorem 11.3, page 59, Example 9.4, page 47 and Example 9.7, page 48 of [16]). Hence, we obtain the isomorphism,

$$H_s(X_1; \mathcal{R}) \oplus H_s(X_2; \mathcal{R}) \rightarrow H_s(\natural k T; \mathbb{R}). \quad (9.1)$$

This isomorphism is given by (see 17.4, page 99 of [16])

$$([c_1]_{H_s(X_1; \mathcal{R})}, [c_2]_{H_s(X_2; \mathcal{R})}) \rightarrow -[c_1]_{H_s(\natural k T; \mathcal{R})} + [c_2]_{H_s(\natural k T; \mathcal{R})}. \quad (9.2)$$

As $\cup_{j \leq k-1} l_j(T) \simeq X_1$, $l_k(T) \simeq X_2$, the inclusions $\cup_{j \leq k-1} l_j(T) \hookrightarrow X_1$, $l_k(T) \hookrightarrow X_2$ induce isomorphisms in homology (see Theorem 11.3, page 59 of [16]). We have, then, the following isomorphisms.

$$H_s(\natural(k-1)T; \mathcal{R}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_s(\cup_{j \leq k-1} l_j(T); \mathcal{R}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_s(X_1; \mathcal{R}), \quad (9.3)$$

$$H_s(T; \mathcal{R}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_s(l_k(T); \mathcal{R}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_s(X_2; \mathcal{R}). \quad (9.4)$$

By our induction hypothesis and (9.1, 9.2, 9.3, 9.4) $H_s(\natural k T; \mathbb{R}) \cong \oplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{R}$. Hence, by Proposition 9.1 $H_s(\natural k T; \mathbb{R}) = 0, s \geq 2$. Moreover, by the induction hypothesis and (9.3), it also follows that $\{[Z_j]_{H_1(X_1; \mathbb{Z})}\}_{j=1}^{k-1}$ is a basis of $H_1(X_1; \mathbb{Z})$. By Proposition 9.1 and (9.4) $H_1(X_1; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$; furthermore, by the definition of Z_k (see 2.2)) and as the homeomorphism $l_k : T \rightarrow l_k(T)$ induces an isomorphism in homology it follows from Proposition 9.1 that $[Z_k]_{H_1(l_k(T); \mathbb{Z})}$ is a basis of $H_1(l_k(T); \mathbb{Z})$ and then, by (9.4) it is also a basis of $H_1(X_2; \mathbb{Z})$. Finally, it follows from (9.2) that $H_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \oplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{[Z_j]_{H_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{Z})}\}_{j=1}^k$ is a basis of $H_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{Z})$.

PROPOSITION 9.3. $H_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{R}) \cong \oplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{R}$ and $\{[Z_j]_{H_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{R})}\}_{j=1}^k$ is a basis of $H_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{R})$.

Proof: The homology group $H_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{R})$ is a module over the ring $\mathbb{R}$, i.e. it is a vector space (page 47 of [16]). If G is an abelian group we can also define the homology groups as in page 153 of [17]. In this case $H_1(\natural k T; G)$ is a group. As $\mathbb{R}$ is a group and a ring we can define the homology groups as modules and as groups. To differentiate them we will denote by $H_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{R})$ the homology module considering $\mathbb{R}$ as a ring, and by $\tilde{H}_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{R})$ considering $\mathbb{R}$ as a group. Actually, $H_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{R})$ and $\tilde{H}_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{R})$ are equal as sets and as groups. By the theorem of universal coefficients -Corollary 3 A.4, page 264 of [17]- there is the exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_s(\natural k T; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \tilde{H}_s(\natural k T; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(\tilde{H}_{s-1}(\natural k T; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow 0.$$

As $\mathbb{R}$ is torsion free, $\text{Tor}(\tilde{H}_{s-1}(\natural k T; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R}) = 0$. See Proposition 3A.5, page 265 of [17]. In consequence,

$$\tilde{H}_s(\natural k T; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{H}_s(\natural k T; \mathbb{R}). \quad (9.5)$$

The isomorphism, I , is given as follows. Let σ be a singular simplex and take $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$I([\sigma] \otimes r) = [r\sigma].$$

See equation (iv) and Lemma 3.A1, pages 261, 262 of [17]. By Proposition 9.2 $H_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \oplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{[Z_j]_{H_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{Z})}\}_{j=1}^k$ is a basis of $H_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{Z})$. Then,

$$\oplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{R} \cong \tilde{H}_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{R}.$$

The isomorphism is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus_{j=1}^k \mathbb{R} &\longrightarrow \oplus_{j=1}^k (\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow (\oplus_{j=1}^k \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{R} \\ (r_1, \dots, r_k) &\rightarrow (1 \otimes r_1, \dots, 1 \otimes r_k) \rightarrow (1, 0, \dots, 0) \otimes r_1 + \dots + (0, 0, \dots, 1) \otimes r_k \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^k [Z_j]_{\tilde{H}_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{Z})} \otimes r_j. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the morphism

$$I' : \oplus_{j=1}^k \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{R}) : I'((r_1, \dots, r_k)) := \sum_{j=1}^k [r_j Z_j]_{\tilde{H}_1(\natural k T; \mathbb{R})},$$

is an isomorphism of groups.

We now prove that this implies that $\{[Z_j]_{H_1(\mathfrak{h}^k T; \mathbb{R})}\}_{j=1}^k$ is a basis of $H_1(\mathfrak{h}^k T; \mathbb{R})$ as a vector space. As $\tilde{H}_1(\mathfrak{h}^k T; \mathbb{R})$ and $H_1(\mathfrak{h}^k T; \mathbb{R})$ are equal as sets and as groups the morphism

$$I' : \oplus_{j=1}^k \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H_1(\mathfrak{h}^k T; \mathbb{R}) : I'((r_1, \dots, r_k)) := \sum_{j=1}^k [r_j Z_j]_{H_1(\mathfrak{h}^k T; \mathbb{R})},$$

is an isomorphism of groups. By the structure of vector space of $H_1(\mathfrak{h}^k T; \mathbb{R})$ we have that, $\sum_{j=1}^k [r_j Z_j]_{H_1(\mathfrak{h}^k T; \mathbb{R})} = \sum_{j=1}^k r_j [Z_j]_{H_1(\mathfrak{h}^k T; \mathbb{R})}$. As I' is an isomorphism of groups we have that $\forall \sigma \in H_1(\mathfrak{h}^k T; \mathbb{R})$ there are real numbers $\{r_j\}_{j=1}^k$ such that $\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^k r_j [Z_j]_{H_1(\mathfrak{h}^k T; \mathbb{R})}$. This means that $\{[Z_j]_{H_1(\mathfrak{h}^k T; \mathbb{R})}\}_{j=1}^k$ generates $H_1(\mathfrak{h}^k T; \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, if $0 = \sum_{j=1}^k r_j [Z_j]_{H_1(\mathfrak{h}^k T; \mathbb{R})} = \sum_{j=1}^k [r_j Z_j]_{H_1(\mathfrak{h}^k T; \mathbb{R})}$ we have that, $(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k) = 0$ and we conclude that $\{[Z_j]_{H_1(\mathfrak{h}^k T; \mathbb{R})}\}_{j=1}^k$ is a linearly independent set and since it also generates $H_1(\mathfrak{h}^k T; \mathbb{R})$ it is a basis.

10 Appendix B

In this appendix we prove, for completeness, the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 10.1. $\{[\hat{\gamma}_j]_{H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{Z})}\}_{j=1}^m$ is a basis of $H_1(\Lambda; \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof: For simplicity we will omit $\mathbb{Z}$ in the homology groups in this proof.

Step 1.

As in the proof of (2.9) we prove that $H_2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K) \cong H_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K)$. Moreover the isomorphism is given by (page 75 of [16])

$$[\sigma]_{H_1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K)} \rightarrow [\partial \sigma]_{H_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K)}. \quad (10.1)$$

Step 2.

Define $K_\varepsilon := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \text{dist}(x, K) \leq \varepsilon\}$. Since $\overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K_\varepsilon} \subset (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K)^\circ$ it follows from the excision theorem (page 82 of [16]) that the inclusion $(K_\varepsilon, K_\varepsilon \setminus K) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K)$ induces an isomorphism in homology.

Step 3.

Let $K_{\varepsilon, j}, j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ be the connected components of K_ε for ε small enough. Then, $K_{\varepsilon, j} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \text{dist}(x, K_j) \leq \varepsilon\}$. By Proposition 13.9, page 72 of [16]

$$H_2(K_\varepsilon, K_\varepsilon \setminus K) \cong \oplus_{j=1}^L H_2(K_{\varepsilon, j}, K_{\varepsilon, j} \setminus K_j).$$

Step 4.

We have the following homotopic equivalence $K_{\varepsilon, j} \setminus K_j \simeq \partial K_{\varepsilon, j}$, that induces the isomorphism in homology

$$H_k(K_{\varepsilon, j} \setminus K_j) \cong H_k(\partial K_{\varepsilon, j}).$$

Let us consider the exact homology sequences of the pairs $(K_{\varepsilon,j}, K_{\varepsilon,j} \setminus K_j)$ and $(K_{\varepsilon,j}, \partial K_{\varepsilon,j})$. The first starts at $H_k(K_{\varepsilon,j} \setminus K_j)$ and ends at $H_{k-1}(K_{\varepsilon,j})$ and the second starts at $H_k(\partial K_{\varepsilon,j})$ and ends at $H_{k-1}(K_{\varepsilon,j})$. By the five lemma (page 77 of [16]) the inclusion $(K_{\varepsilon,j}, \partial K_{\varepsilon,j}) \hookrightarrow (K_{\varepsilon,j}, K_{\varepsilon,j} \setminus K_j)$ induces the isomorphism in homology,

$$H_k(K_{\varepsilon,j}, \partial K_{\varepsilon,j}) \cong H_k(K_{\varepsilon,j}, K_{\varepsilon,j} \setminus K_j).$$

Step 5.

By the exact homology sequence for the pair $(K_{\varepsilon,j}, \partial K_{\varepsilon,j})$ we obtain the sequence

$$\rightarrow H_2(K_{\varepsilon,j}) \rightarrow H_2(K_{\varepsilon,j}, \partial K_{\varepsilon,j}) \xrightarrow{\Delta_2} H_1(\partial K_{\varepsilon,j}) \xrightarrow{I} H_1(K_{\varepsilon,j}) \rightarrow,$$

where Δ_2 is taking boundary and I is the inclusion. By Proposition 9.2 $H_2(K_{\varepsilon,j}) = 0$. Hence we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_2(K_{\varepsilon,j}, \partial K_{\varepsilon,j}) \xrightarrow{\Delta_2} H_1(\partial K_{\varepsilon,j}) \xrightarrow{I} H_1(K_{\varepsilon,j}) \rightarrow. \quad (10.2)$$

Let $\Gamma_j \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ be such that $\{[\gamma_i]_{H_1(K_{\varepsilon,j})}\}_{i \in \Gamma_j}$ is a basis of $H_1(K_{\varepsilon,j})$ (see Subsection 2.4).

Let $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \Gamma_j}, \{\beta_i\}_{i \in \Gamma_j}$ be the curves defined in Example 2A.2, page 168 of [17]. Note that we can choose $\alpha_i = \hat{\gamma}_i$ (see (2.6), just take $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ instead of ε in K_ε). Moreover as $\gamma_i \simeq \beta_i$ we have that (see Theorem 11.2, page 59 of [16]) $[\beta_i]_{H_1(K_{\varepsilon,j})} = [\gamma_i]_{H_1(K_{\varepsilon,j})}$. Then, by Example 2A.2, page 168 of [17],

$$\{[\hat{\gamma}_i]_{H_1(\partial K_{\varepsilon,j})}, [\beta_i]_{H_1(\partial K_{\varepsilon,j})}\}_{i \in \Gamma_j}$$

is a basis of $H_1(\partial K_{\varepsilon,j})$.

It is clear that $I([\hat{\gamma}_i]_{H_1(\partial K_{\varepsilon,j})}) = 0, i \in \Gamma_j$. Moreover, $I([\beta_i]_{H_1(\partial K_{\varepsilon,j})}) = [\beta_i]_{H_1(K_{\varepsilon,j})} = [\gamma_i]_{H_1(K_{\varepsilon,j})}$. Hence, $\text{Kern } I = \langle \{[\hat{\gamma}_i]_{H_1(\partial K_{\varepsilon,j})}\}_{i \in \Gamma_j} \rangle$, the free $\mathbb{Z}$ -module or the free group generated by $\{[\hat{\gamma}_i]_{H_1(\partial K_{\varepsilon,j})}\}_{i \in \Gamma_j}$. We obtain then that,

$$H_2(K_{\varepsilon,j}, \partial K_{\varepsilon,j}) \xrightarrow{\Delta_2} \text{Kern}(I) = \langle \{[\hat{\gamma}_i]_{H_1(\partial K_{\varepsilon,j})}\}_{i \in \Gamma_j} \rangle.$$

It follows that to construct a basis of $H_2(K_{\varepsilon,j}, \partial K_{\varepsilon,j})$ it is enough to compute the inverse image under Δ_2 of the $\{[\hat{\gamma}_i]_{H_1(\partial K_{\varepsilon,j})}\}_{i \in \Gamma_j}$. Let us take then, $[\sigma_i]_{H_1(K_{\varepsilon,j}, \partial K_{\varepsilon,j})}$ such that, $\partial \sigma_i = \hat{\gamma}_i$. Hence, $\{[\sigma_i]_{H_1(K_{\varepsilon,j}, \partial K_{\varepsilon,j})}\}_{i \in \Gamma_j}$ is a basis of $H_1(K_{\varepsilon,j}, \partial K_{\varepsilon,j})$.

Finally, by steps 4 and 5 $\{[\sigma_i]_{H_2(K_{\varepsilon,j}, K_{\varepsilon,j} \setminus K_j)}\}_{i \in \Gamma_j}$ is a basis of $H_2(K_{\varepsilon,j}, K_{\varepsilon,j} \setminus K_j)$. By step 3 $\{[\sigma_i]_{H_2(K_\varepsilon, K_\varepsilon \setminus K)}\}_{i=1}^m$ is a basis of $H_2(K_\varepsilon, K_\varepsilon \setminus K)$. By step 2 $\{[\sigma_i]_{H_2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K)}\}_{i=1}^m$ is a basis on $H_2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K)$. By step 1 $\{[\hat{\gamma}_i]_{H_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K)}\}_{i=1}^m$ is a basis of $H_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K)$.

Acknowledgement

This work was partially done while we were visiting the Department of Mathematics and Statistics of the University of Helsinki. We thank Prof. Lassi Päiväranta for his kind hospitality.

References

- [1] R. A. Adams, J. J. F. Fournier, Sobolev Spaces, Amsterdam Academic Press, Oxford, 2003.
- [2] S. Agmon, Lectures on Elliptic Boundary Value Problems, D. Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1965.
- [3] Y. Aharonov, D. Bohm, Significance of electromagnetic potentials in the quantum theory, Phys. Rev. **115** (1959) 485-491.
- [4] S. Arians, Geometric approach to inverse scattering for the Schrödinger equation with magnetic and electric potentials, J. Math. Phys. **38** (1997) 2761-2773.
- [5] S. Arians, Inverse Streutheorie für die Schrödinger Gleichung mit Magnet Felder, Dissertation RWTH-Aachen, Berlin, Logos, 1997.
- [6] S. Arians, Geometric approach to inverse scattering for hydrogen-like systems in a homogeneous magnetic field, J. Math. Phys. **39** (1998) 1730-1743.
- [7] G. E. Bredon, Topology and Geometry, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [8] G. de Rham, Differentiable Manifolds, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [9] W. Ehrenberg, R. E. Siday, The refractive index in electron optics and the principles of dynamics, Proc. Phys. Soc. London B62 (1949) 8-21.
- [10] G. Eskin, Inverse boundary value problems and the Aharonov-Bohm effect, Inverse Problems **19** (2003) 49-62.
- [11] G. Eskin, Inverse boundary value problems in domains with several obstacles, Inverse Problems **20** (2004) 1497-1516.
- [12] G. Eskin, Inverse problems for the Schrödinger equations with time-dependent electromagnetic potentials and the Aharonov-Bohm effect, arXiv:math.AP/0611342v1, 2006.
- [13] G. Eskin, Optical Aharonov-Bohm effect: an inverse hyperbolic problems approach, arXiv:0707.2835v2 [math-ph], 2007.
- [14] V. Enss, R. Weder, The geometrical approach to multidimensional inverse scattering, J. Math. Phys. **36** (1995) 3902-3921.
- [15] R. E. Gompf, A. I. Stipsicz, 4-Manifolds and Kirby Calculus, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **20**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1999.

- [16] M. J. Greenberg, J. R. Harper, Algebraic Topology, A First Course , Addison-Wesley, New York, 1981.
- [17] A. Hatcher, Algebraic Topology, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [18] S. Helgason, Groups and Geometric Analysis, Academic Press, Orlando, 1984.
- [19] S. Helgason, The Radon Transform, Progress in Mathematics vol 5, 2nd edn, Birkäuser, Berlin, 1999.
- [20] W. Jung, Der geometrische Ansatz zur inversen Streutheorie bei der Dirac-Gleichung, Diplomarbeit RWTH-Aachen, 1996.
- [21] W. Jung, Geometrical approach to inverse scattering for the Dirac equation, J. Math. Phys. **38** (1997) 39-48.
- [22] W. Jung, Gauge transformations and inverse quantum scattering with medium-range magnetic fields, Math. Phys. Electron. J. **11** paper 5 (2005) 32 pp.
- [23] T. Kato, Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [24] A. Katchalov, Ya. Kurylev, Multidimensional inverse problem with incomplete boundary spectral data, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **23** (1998) 55-95.
- [25] Y. Kurylev, M. Lassas, Inverse problems and index formulae for Dirac operators, arXiv:math.AP/0501049v2, 2006.
- [26] E. Martensen, Potentialtheorie, B.G. Tubner, Stuttgart, 1968.
- [27] F. Natterer, The Mathematics of Computerized Tomography, Teubner, Stuttgart, 1986.
- [28] F. Nicoleau, An inverse scattering problem with the Aharonov-Bohm effect, J. Math. Phys **41** (2000) 5223-5237.
- [29] S. Olariu, I.I. Popescu, The quantum effects of electromagnetic fluxes, Rev. Modern. Phys. **57** (1985) 339-436.
- [30] M. Peshkin, A. Tonomura, The Aharonov-Bohm Effect, Lecture Notes in Phys. **340**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [31] M. Reed, B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics II Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [32] M. Reed, B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics III Scattering Theory, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [33] Ph. Roux, Scattering by a toroidal coil, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36** (2003) 5293-5304.
- [34] Ph. Roux, D. Yafaev, On the mathematical theory of the Aharonov-Bohm effect, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **35** (2002) 7481-7492.

- [35] G. Schwarz, Hodge Decomposition- A Method for Solving Boundary Value Problems, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1607**, Springer, Berlin, 1995.
- [36] H. Triebel, Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [37] A. Tonomura, T. Matsuda, R. Suzuki, A. Fukuhara, N. Osakabe, H. Umezaki, J. Endo, K. Shinagawa, Y. Sugita, H. Fujiwara, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48** (1982) 1443-1446.
- [38] A. Tonomura, N. Osakabe, T. Matsuda, T. Kawasaki, J. Endo, S. Yano, H. Yamada, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56** (1986) 792-795.
- [39] M. Neudert, W. von Wahl, Asymptotic behaviour of the div-curl problem in exterior domains, Adv. Differential Equations **6** (2001) 1347-1376.
- [40] R. Weder, The Aharonov-Bohm effect and time-dependent inverse scattering theory, Inverse Problems **18** (2002) 1041-1056.
- [41] F. W. Warner, Foundations of Differentiable Manifolds, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [42] D. R. Yafaev, Scattering matrix for magnetic potentials with Coulomb decay at infinity, Integral Equations Operator Theory **47** (2003) 217-249.
- [43] D. R. Yafaev, Scattering by magnetic fields, St. Petersburg Math. J. **17** (2006) 875-895.
- [44] T. T. Wu, C. N. Yang, Concept of nonintegrable phase factors and global formulation of gauge fields, Phys. Rev. D (3) **12** (1975) 3845-3857.